

In vitro rumen fermentability of urea-limestone mixture combined with different sources of non-fiber carbohydrate

by Limbang Kustiawan Nuswantara

Submission date: 02-Oct-2020 09:46AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1402931419

File name: C-38-LRRD.docx (30.07K)

Word count: 4523

Character count: 24136

***In vitro* rumen fermentability of urea-limestone mixture combined with different sources of non-fiber carbohydrate**

M A Harahap, L K Nuswantara, F Wahyono, E Pangestu and J Achmadi

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia
jachmadi@gmail.com

Abstract

The study was conducted to determine the *in vitro* rumen fermentability of urea-limestone mixture (UL) combined with different sources of non fiber carbohydrate (NFC). Molasses (M) and banana plant root meal (BPRM) were used as different sources of NFC. The combinations were designed according to nitrogen (N) content of UL and NFC content of M or BPRM with ratios at 1:3, 1:6, and 1:12, respectively. The different NFC sources and dietary ratios of N to NFC were allocated according to a completely randomized design with factorial pattern of 2 x 3. First factor was source of NFC, and the dietary ratio of N:NFC was the second factor. The interaction between NFC source and N:NFC ratio increased ($p < 0.01$) DM degradability. The increasing N:NFC ratio enhanced ($p < 0.01$) DM degradability and microbial protein, but lowered ($p < 0.01$) nitrogen degradation and rumen NH_3 concentration. In conclusion, combination of UL and molasses or BPRM with N to NFC ratio at 1 : 12 effectively enhances some variables ruminal fermentation. Molasses is better than BPRM as NFC source.

Key words: *feed NFC, in vitro, rumen fermentability, urea-limestone mixture*

Introduction

Urea was commonly used as a N source to ruminant diet. Dietary urea normally leads to high rumen NH_3 concentrations immediately after feeding (Lee et al 2017). This rapid break down of urea causes excessive rumen NH_3 , which result in more ammonia entering the blood (Holder et al 2015) and consequently lowering N utilization of urea (Taylor-Edwards et al 2009). Decreasing N release rate of urea prevents excessive ruminal NH_3 and improves the efficiency of urea utilization as a N source for ruminant. The mixture of urea, CaSO_4 , and cassava chip is an alternative dietary supplement with a slow release ruminal N (Cherdthong et al 2011). In an previous study, limestone-urea mixture has a slower ruminal release of nitrogen compared with that of common urea (Harahap et al 2018). Combination of urea, limestone, and readily available carbohydrate source is postulated to be dietary supplement provided by a slower rumen N degradation.

Increasing amount of soluble carbohydrate is needed to synchronize the rapidly rumen degradation of urea. Likewise, urea N will be lost via urine if there is insufficient carbohydrate to match ruminal NH_3 release. Sugarcane molasses is

usually used as a simple carbohydrate when urea is included in the diet (Salem et al 2013; Lizarazo et al 2014). Molasses provides an available energy for microbial protein synthesis (Soder et al 2011; Azizi-Shotorkhoft et al 2013). Banana plant root is traditionally used as dietary carbohydrate source, however there is a little information concerning with inclusion of banana plant root meal (BPRM) in the feed formulation.

Some studies clarified the use of dietary non fiber carbohydrate (NFC) source in the ruminants (Zhang et al 2012; Da-Cheng et al 2013; Li et al 2014; Spanghero et al 2017). A proper ratio of dietary N to NFC is needed to increase the efficiency utilization of dietary nitrogen and carbohydrate. Objective of the study was to determine the *in vitro* rumen fermentability of urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses or BPRM by applying some ratios of N to NFC.

Materials and methods

Materials and treatment

Rumen inoculum of this study was collected from two ruminal-cannulated Jawa Randhu crossbred goats. The goats were 30 months old with body weight average of 30 kg. The animals were kept in individual pens and clean fresh water was available continuously. Animals were fed twice daily on diet containing 70% elephant grass, 10.7% rice bran, 17% coconut meal, 1.1% cassava waste, 1.0% sugarcane molasses, and 0.2% vitamin-mineral premix (based on dry matter).

Molasses and BPRM were used as the readily available carbohydrate, the chemical composition of two carbohydrate sources are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Chemical composition of molasses and banana plant root meal (100% DM)

| Component | Carbohydrate source | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | Banana Plant Meal | Root Molasses |
| Crude Protein | 3.54 | 1.15 |
| Extract Eter | 0.21 | 0.71 |
| Ash | 12.30 | 7.09 |
| Neutral Detergent Fiber | 13.04 | 0.00 |
| Nitrogen | 0.57 | 0.18 |
| Non-Fiber Carbohydrate ¹ | 67.40 | 91.04 |
| Glucose | 21.64 | 59.98 |
| Starch | 38.34 | 19.52 |
| Total Digestible Nutrient | 71.84 | 84.71 |

¹ Non fiber carbohydrates was calculated as = 100% - (% crude protein + %NDF + % extract eter + % ash) (Da-cheng et al., 2013).

The limestone-urea mixture (LU) was created similarly to previous study of ruminal nitrogen release by Harahap et al (2018). Molasses was purchased from a feedstuff supplier in Semarang city. The BRPM was made from part of ball shape like rhizome beneath stem of old plant. After peeling this ball shape like rizhome, the rhizome was sliced into 1 cm thin and then was sundried. The sundried matter was then crushed to create the BRPM. Combinations of urea-limestone mixture with soluble carbohydrate sources were made according to the ratios of N to

NFC, there were 3 combinations for each soluble carbohydrates (Table 2). The combinations using BRPM were ULBR3 (1 : 3 of N to NFC ratio), ULBR6 (1 : 6 of N to NFC ratio), and ULBR12 (1 : 12 of N to NFC ratio). The combinations using molasses were ULMR3 (1 : 3 of N to NFC ratio), ULMR6 (1 : 6 of N to NFC ratio) and ULMR12 (1 : 12 of N to NFC ratio).

Table 2. Ingredient and composition of urea-limestone mixtures combined with banana plant root meal and molasses

| Treatment | ULBR3 | ULBR6 | ULBR12 | ULMR3 | ULMR6 | ULMR12 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Ingredient | | | | | | |
| UL, % | 67 | 50 | 32 | 74 | 58 | 41 |
| BPRM, % | 33 | 50 | 68 | - | - | - |
| Molasses, % | - | - | - | 26 | 42 | 59 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| N and NFC composition | | | | | | |
| N, % | 7.33 | 5.62 | 3.79 | 7.93 | 6.25 | 4.47 |
| NFC, % | 22.24 | 33.70 | 45.83 | 23.67 | 38.24 | 53.71 |
| Rasio N:NFC | 1:3.03 | 1:6.00 | 1:12.06 | 1:2.98 | 1:6.11 | 1:11.99 |

UL = urea-limestone mixture; BPRM = Banana plant root meal.

ULBR3 = N:NFC ratio at 1:3 in urea-limestone mixture combined with BRPM.

ULBR6 = N:NFC ratio at 1:6 in urea-limestone mixture combined with BRPM.

ULBR12 = N:NFC ratio at 1:12 in urea-limestone mixture combined with BRPM.

ULM3 = N:NFC ratio at 1:3 in urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses.

ULM6 = N:NFC ratio at 1:6 in urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses.

ULM12 = N:NFC ratio at 1:12 in urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses.

The *in vitro* technique

Rumen fluid was collected in each goat before the morning feeding. Rumen fluid was strained through four layers of cheesecloth into pre-warmed thermo flasks. A strict anaerobic technique was applied during rumen fluid collection. The collected rumen fluid was then transported immediately to the laboratory.

Test of *in vitro* rumen fermentability for each combination was conducted using single stage of batch culture (Tilley and Terry 1963) with correction for blank (without substrate). McDougall buffer solution and rumen fluid were mixed at ratio of 4 : 1 for making a rumen inoculum. The test of *in vitro* rumen fermentability for combination of urea-limestone mixture with soluble carbohydrate was commenced for three hours. After 3 hours of incubation, the fermentation process was ceased by placing fermentor tube in an ice-cold bath, and then was centrifuged (3,000 x g, 15 minutes, 4 °C).

The filtrate was divided into 2 parts, one part was stored at -20 °C for volatile fatty acids and ammonia analysis. The other part was continued by centrifugation (10,000 x g, 15 minutes, 4 °C) and stored at -20 °C for determination of microbial protein content.

Chemical analysis

Analysis of DM and N content in residue were conducted according to AOAC (1990). Starch and glucose content were determined by Luff-Schoorl method (Koca et al 2014). Total volatile fatty acids concentration of rumen fluid was estimated by the method of steam distillation (Kromann et al 1967). Concentration of rumen partial volatile fatty acids were determined by using gas

chromatography (GC-2010, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) as described by Zhang et al (2008). Rumen NH₃ concentration was assayed using spectrophotometer as described by Chaney and Marbach (1962). The content of rumen microbial protein was analysed using Lowry method (Makkar et al 1982). Each parameter was assayed *in duplo*.

Statistical analysis

Two sources of NFC and three ratios of N to NFC were allocated according to a 2 x 3 of completely factorial design with three replicates of each. First factor was source of NFC, and the dietary ratio of N:NFC was the second factor. The statistical model used was as follows :

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

where Y_{ijk} is the observed value, μ is the overall mean, α_i is the effect of NFC sources, β_j is the effect of ratios of N to NFC, $(\alpha\beta)_{ij}$ is the interaction effect between NFC sources and ratios of N to NFC and ε_{ijk} is the random residual error. Data were tested using ANOVA and followed by Duncan's multiple range test.

Results

There were interactive effect between NFC sources and ratio of N to NFC on DM degradability (Table 3). Decreasing N to NFC ratio enhanced ($p < 0.01$) DM degradability, and DM degradability of molasses was higher ($p < 0.01$) than that banana plant root meal. The pattern of DM degradability was similar to the treatments effect on of rumen protein microbes (Table 3).

Table 3. Results of *in vitro* rumen fermentability test (nitrogen degradation)

| Treatment | Parameters | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Dry Matter Degradability --(%)-- | Microbial Protein -(mg/g)- | Degraded Nitrogen ------(%)----- | Undegraded Nitrogen | NH ₃ (mg/100ml) |
| ULBR3 | 14.72 ^d | 4.38 ^d | 96.30 ^a | 3.70 ^c | 109.71 ^a |
| ULBR6 | 16.37 ^d | 5.16 ^d | 90.78 ^b | 9.22 ^b | 80.50 ^c |
| ULBR12 | 16.77 ^{cd} | 8.37 ^c | 84.31 ^c | 15.69 ^a | 62.84 ^d |
| ULMR3 | 21.79 ^c | 8.78 ^c | 96.33 ^a | 3.67 ^c | 96.96 ^b |
| ULMR6 | 30.39 ^b | 11.00 ^b | 89.36 ^b | 10.64 ^b | 77.31 ^c |
| ULMR12 | 50.32 ^a | 12.02 ^a | 87.63 ^b | 12.37 ^b | 56.84 ^d |
| SEM | 3.07 | 0.77 | 1.11 | 1.11 | 4.54 |
| Comparison (<i>p</i> value) | | | | | |
| Carbohydrate Source | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.01 |
| N : NFC ratio | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Interaction | <0.001 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.28 |

abc,d Means in the same column with different letters show significant differences ($p < 0.05$).
 ULBR6 = N:NFC ratio at 1:6 in urea-limetone mixture combined with BRPM.
 ULBR12 = N:NFC ratio at 1:12 in urea-limetone mixture combined with BRPM.
 ULM3 = N:NFC ratio at 1:3 in urea-limetone mixture combined with molasses.
 ULM6 = N:NFC ratio at 1:6 in urea-limetone mixture combined with molasses.
 ULM12 = N:NFC ratio at 1:12 in urea-limetone mixture combined with molasses.

Concentrations of rumen undegraded nitrogen were lowered ($p < 0.05$) according to decreasing N:NFC ratio, and NFC sources did not effect on the concentrations of rumen undegraded nitrogen (Table 3). Rumen NH₃ concentrations were raised

($p < 0.01$) by NFC sources and ratio of N:NFC, though there was no interaction effect between NFC sources and N:NFC ratio on rumen NH_3 concentrations. Decreasing ratio of N:NFC enhanced ($p < 0.01$) rumen VFA's concentration, and NFC sources did not effect on rumen VFA's concentration.

Rumen concentrations of C2 were risen ($p < 0.05$) across NFC sources with increasing ratio of N:NFC, and BRPM had higher ($p < 0.05$) rumen concentration of C2 than that of molasses, though NFC sources and N:NFC ration did not interact to effect on rumen concentration of C2 (Table 4). The ratio of N to NFC did not effect on rumen C3 concentration, though molasses resulted in more higher ($p < 0.05$) rumen C3 concentration.

Table 4. Results of *in vitro* rumen fermentability test (carbohydrate degradation)

| Treatment | Parameters | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | VFA's | C2 | C3 | C4 | Iso butyrate | Iso Valerate | Valerate | C2:C3 |
| | ----- (mM) ----- | | | | | | | |
| ULBR3 | 213.33 ^a | 36.28 ^a | 5.47 ^{ab} | 1.91 | 1.00 | 0.86 ^b | 0.18 ^b | 6.64 ^a |
| ULBR6 | 190.00 ^{bc} | 25.73 ^b | 4.13 ^b | 2.02 | 1.04 | 0.87 ^b | 0.20 ^{ab} | 6.30 ^a |
| ULBR12 | 186.67 ^c | 25.63 ^b | 4.15 ^b | 2.35 | 1.18 | 1.07 ^a | 0.21 ^a | 6.20 ^a |
| ULMR3 | 210.00 ^a | 25.13 ^b | 4.70 ^b | 1.69 | 0.79 | 0.51 ^b | 0.20 ^{ab} | 5.42 ^{ab} |
| ULMR6 | 203.33 ^{ab} | 24.72 ^b | 5.27 ^{ab} | 1.70 | 0.80 | 0.59 ^b | 0.22 ^a | 4.69 ^b |
| ULMR12 | 193.33 ^{bc} | 20.25 ^b | 6.46 ^a | 2.12 | 0.87 | 0.67 ^b | 0.23 ^a | 3.13 ^c |
| SEM | 2.96 | 1.48 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.32 |
| Comparison (<i>p</i> value) | | | | | | | | |
| Carbohydrate Source | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.05 | <0.001 |
| N:NFC ratio | <0.001 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Interaction | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.36 | 0.93 | 0.09 |

^{a,b,c,d} Means in the same column with different letters show significant differences ($p < 0.05$)

ULBR3 = N:NFC ratio at 1:3 in urea-limestone mixture combined with BRPM.

ULBR6 = N:NFC ratio at 1:6 in urea-limestone mixture combined with BRPM.

ULBR12 = N:NFC ratio at 1:12 in urea-limestone mixture combined with BRPM.

ULM3 = N:NFC ratio at 1:3 in urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses

ULM6 = N:NFC ratio at 1:6 in urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses.

ULM12 = N:NFC ratio at 1:12 in urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses.

The NFC sources and N:NFC ratio unchanged rumen concentrations of C4 and isobutyrate (Table 4). Decreasing N:NFC ratio lowered ($p < 0.05$) rumen valerate concentrations. The use of molasses in the combination gave higher ($p < 0.01$) rumen concentration of isovalerate compared with that of BRPM. Ratios of C2:C3 were increased ($p < 0.05$) according to decreasing N:NFC ratio across the NFC sources.

Discussion

Molasses is widely used as a source of readily available carbohydrate for dietary urea supplement. Ball shape like rhizome beneath stem of the old banana plant is commonly utilized as a source of traditional food carbohydrate in Indonesia, but its use as feed supplement needs a clarification. Although the NFC content of banana plant root meal was lower than that of molasses (Table 1), the banana plant root meal could be used for diversification of readily available carbohydrate in balancing ruminant diet.

Rumen NH_3 concentration was higher when urea-limestone mixture was combined with BRPM compared with that of molasses (Table 3). Most rumen

NH₃ released from urea-limestone-molasses combination may be utilised for microbial protein synthesis, because there were more ATP and carbon skeleton. There are some factors affecting ammonia present in the rumen such as dietary protein or nitrogen content, rate of nitrogen hydrolysis and ammonia conversion to microbial protein synthesis (Jayanegara et al 2017; Kand et al 2018). For all the combinations, the average of ammonia concentration was more higher than 5 mg/dl (Table 3). Comparable results were reported by Cherdthong et al (2011), ammonia concentration of urea-calcium mixtures treatment ranged from 14 – 30 mg/dl. Although such a high ammonia concentration is not effective for nitrogen source in diet (Taylor-Edwards et al 2009; Holder et al 2015).

In this study, while ratio of N:NFC at 1:3 resulted in the highest rumen NH₃ concentration, the N:NFC ratio at 1:12 produced lowest rumen NH₃ concentration (Table 3). The rumen NH₃ concentration was the sum of degraded nitrogen and undegraded nitrogen in the rumen (Table 3). Beside this, the higher content of NFC in ULBR12 and ULMR12 resulted in lower rumen NH₃ than other combinations. This means that the higher NFC level may be provided with more ATP and carbon skeleton for protein microbial synthesis. Cherdthong et al (2011) reported that the decrease in rumen NH₃ concentration is attributed by an increase in utilization level of dietary nonstructural carbohydrates by rumen microbes. The declined after feeding ruminal NH₃ concentrations is an indication of increased N utilisation for microbial protein synthesis (Li et al 2014). The ULBR12 and ULMR12 could be considered as the better harmonization between rumen NH₃ release and carbohydrate availability to support microbial protein synthesis.

Dry matter degradation of urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses was higher than that of BPRM (Table 3). However, there was no different between DM degradation of ULBR12 and ULMR3 (Table 3). This reflected a higher microbial activity in the urea-limestone mixture combined with molasses than in urea-limestone mixture combined with BPRM. Ruminal degradation is well known supported by the population of rumen microbes. This phenomenon is supported by the higher NDF content of banana plant root meal than that of molasses. Ruminal fermentation of roughage feed may lower VFA concentration since high fiber is slowly degraded in the rumen (Suharlina et al 2016; Dal Pizzol et al 2017). Azizi-Shotorkhoft et al (2013) reported that molasses provides more available energy for microbial synthesis. The ratio of N to NFC at 1 : 12 gave the best efficiency of dry matter degradation. This fact was attributed with decreasing rumen ammonia concentration, increasing microbial synthesis and dry matter degradability (Table 3). Lopez-Soto et al (2014) stated that a synchronization between utilizations of soluble carbohydrate and ammonia in rumen may improve feed digestibility.

Volatile fatty acids are end product of carbon fermentation by rumen microbes. These products are absorbed across the rumen wall and utilized for biochemical synthesis of energy (Cherdthong et al 2014). Dietary non-fiber carbohydrate is rapidly fermented in rumen and used as carbon backbone source for rumen microbes protein. The ratio of N to NFC at 1 : 12 had lowest rumen VFA

concentration, this was linearly with the decreasing rumen NH_3 concentration for protein microbes synthesis (Table 3).

Ruminal VFA production was affected by the content of feed fiber (Table 4). The NDF content of BRPM was higher than that of molasses (Table 1). Likewise, feed fiber is a precursor of acetate in rumen. This in agreement with the results of Sari et al (2015) and Maktabi et al (2016), greater feed NDF content may enhance rumen acetate concentration. On the other hand, feed NFC is a source of propionate (Cherdthong et al 2014). Fiber content of molasses is lower than that of BRPM, so that ruminal fermentation of molasses may result in a higher propionate concentration than that of BRPM. As expected, the rumen acetate concentration was increased by declining ratio of N to NFC (Table 4). Lowering NFC level is usually linear with the increasing NDF content (Da-Cheng et al 2013). Although glucose content was higher in molasses than in BRPM (Table 1), we did not find higher proportion of butyrate for molasses combinations. Previous study reported that high-sugar in diet was resulted higher butyrate production in the rumen (Gao and Oba 2016).

The ratio of rumen acetate to propionate combinations emphasized the effect of N to NFC ratio changes in the UL combinations. Increasing NFC content from the N:NFC ratio gradually at 1:3 and up to 1:12 caused decrease in acetate production and increase in propionate production (Table 4). Ruminal fermentation of substrate producing acetate is attributed by high production of H_2 and CO_2 (Ungerfeld, 2013). Hydrogen is used as a precursor in the process of methane production by methanogenic bacteria. Accumulated hydrogen in large quantities during acetate production process causes elevated methane gas production in the rumen (Ahmed et al 2018). Li et al (2014) reported that increasing dietary fermentable carbohydrate (starch) content reduces the acetate to propionate ratio which is indicated by more propionate production in the rumen. Thus, the energy utilization of molasses is better compared to BRPM as a carbohydrate source in the feed. Concentration of valerate was greater in the ratio of N:NFC at 1:12 compared with 1:6 and 1:3. Higher portion of molasses and BRPM in ULMR12 and ULBR12, respectively, gave highest rumen true protein in all combinations of the UL (Table 3). This is in agreement with Zhang et al (2012) and Dal Pizzol et al (2017), iso-VFA production may be the result of dietary protein degradation by microbes in the rumen.

Conclusion

- The UL mixture combined with molasses or BRPM with N:NFC ratio at 1 : 12 resulted in higher DM degradability and amount of microbial protein compared with other N : NFC ratios.
- The NFC of molasses gave higher these parameter values compared to NFC of BRPM. Further study is needed to determine the supplementation effect of slow release nitrogen of the UL on productive performance of ruminant.

Acknowledgement

This research project was funded by “Pendidikan Master menuju Doktor untuk Sarjana Unggul (PMDSU)” Scholarship Batch II 2015, Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Republic of Indonesia with contract No. 315-03/UN7.5.1/PP/2017.

References

Ahmed M A, Jusoh S, Alimon A R, Ebrahim M and Samsudin A A 2018 Nutritive and anti-nutritive evaluation of *Kleinhovia hospita*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Gliricidia sepium* with respect to their effects on *in vitro* rumen fermentation and gas production. *Tropical Animal Science Journal* 41 128-136, from <http://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/tasj/article/view/22438/14898>

AOAC 1990 Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Agriculture Chemist Agricultural Chemical; Contaminants; drugs Vol 1 Association of Official Agriculture Chemists, Inc, Virginia.

Azizi-Shotorkhoft A, Rezaei J and Fazaeli H 2013 The effect of different levels of molasses on the digestibility, rumen parameters and blood metabolites in sheep fed processed broiler litter. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 179 69-76.

Chaney A L and Marbach E P 1962 Modified reagents for determination of urea and ammonia. *Clinical Chemistry* 8 130–132, from <http://clinchem.aaccjnls.org/content/clinchem/8/2/130.full.pdf>.

Cherdthong A, Wanapat M and Wachirapakorn C 2011 Influence of urea calcium mixture on ruminal fermentation characteristics of beef cattle fed on concentrates containing high levels of cassava chips and rice straw. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 163 43–51.

Cherdthong A, Wanapat M, Wongwungchun W, Yeekeng S, Niltho T, Rakwongrit D, Khota W, Hantharin S, Tangmutthapatharakun G, Phesatcha K, Foiklang S, Kang S, Anantasook N and Gunun P 2014 Effect of feeding feed blocks containing different levels of urea calcium sulphate mixture on feed intake, digestibility and rumen fermentation in Thai native beef cattle fed on rice straw. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 198 151–157.

Da-cheng L, Xiang-li Z, Pei-ting Z, Min G, Hao-qi H and Hong-lian H 2013 Effects of increasing non-fiber carbohydrate to neutral detergent fiber ratio on rumen fermentation and microbiota in goats. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 12 319–326.

Dal Pizzol J G, Ribeiro-Filho H M N, Quereuil A, Le Morvan A and Niderkorn V 2017 Complementarities between grasses and forage legumes from temperate and subtropical areas on *in vitro* rumen fermentation characteristics. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 228 178–185.

Gao X and Oba M 2016 Effect of increasing dietary nonfiber carbohydrate with starch, sucrose, or lactose on rumen fermentation and productivity of lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99 291–300, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9871>

Harahap M A, Nuswantara L K, Pangestu E, Wahyono F and Achmadi J 2018 Nitrogen degradation of the limestone-urea mixtures in the rumen of goats. *Journal of the Indonesian Tropical Animal Agriculture* 43 282–288, from <https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/jitaa/article/view/17881/pdf>.

Holder V B, Tricarico J M, Kim D H, Kristensen N B and Harmon D L 2015 The effects of degradable nitrogen level and slow release urea on nitrogen balance and urea kinetics in Holstein steers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 200 57-65.

Jayanegara A, Yantina N, Novandri B, Laconi E B, Nahrowi N and Ridla M 2017 Evaluation of some insects as potential feed ingredients for ruminants : chemical composition, *in vitro* rumen fermentation and

methane emissions. Journal of the Indonesian Tropical Animal Agriculture 42 247–254, from <https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/jitaa/article/view/13360/pdf>.

Kand D, Raharjo B, Castro-Montoya J and Dickhoefer U 2018 The effects of rumen nitrogen balance on *in vitro* rumen fermentation and microbial protein synthesis vary with dietary carbohydrate and nitrogen sources. Animal Feed Science and Technology 241 184-197.

Koca I, Ustun N S, Serdar U, Karadeniz B and Koca A F 2014 Different methods for candied chestnut production. Journal of Food Technology 12 20–24, from <http://docsdrive.com/pdfs/medwelljournals/jftech/2014/20-24.pdf>.

Kromann R P, Meyer J H and Stielau J 1967 Steam distillation of volatile fatty acids in rumen ingesta. Journal of Dairy Science 50 73–76, from [https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302\(67\)87356-9/pdf](https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302(67)87356-9/pdf).

Lee C, Araujo R C, Koenig K M and Beauchemin K A 2017 *In situ* and *in vitro* evaluations of slow release form of nitrate for ruminants : Nitrate release rate, rumen nitrate metabolism and the production of methane, hydrogen and nitrous oxide. Animal Feed Science and Technology 231 97–106.

Li F, Yang X J, Cao Y C, Li S X, Yao J H, Li Z J and Sun F F 2014 Effects of dietary effective fiber to rumen degradable starch ratios on the risk of sub-acute ruminal acidosis and rumen content fatty acids composition in dairy goat. Animal Feed Science and Technology 189 54–62.

Lizarazo A C, Mendoza G D, Ku J, Melgoza L M and Crosby M 2014 Effects of slow-release urea and molasses on ruminal metabolism of lamb fed with low-quality tropical forage Small Ruminant Research 116 28–31.

Lopez-Soto M A, Rivera-Mendez C R, Aguilar-Hernandez J A, Barreras A, Calderon-Cortes J F, Ascencia A, Davila-Ramos H, Estrada-Angulo A and Valdes-Garcia Y S 2014 Effects of combining feed grade urea and a slow-release urea product on characteristics of digestion, microbial protein synthesis and digestible energy in steers fed diets with different starch : ADF ratios. Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences Sci 27 187–193, from <https://www.ajas.info/upload/pdf/ajas-27-2-187-5.pdf>

Makkar H P S, Sharma O P, Dawra R K and Negi S S 1982 Simple determination of microbial protein in rumen liquor. Journal of Dairy Science 65 2170-2173, from [https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302\(82\)82477-6/pdf](https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302(82)82477-6/pdf).

Maktabi H, Ghasemi E and Khorvash M 2016 Effects of substituting grain with forage or nonforage fiber source on growth performance, rumen fermentation, and chewing activity of dairy calves. Animal Feed Science and Technology 221 70-78.

Orskov E R and M Ryle 1990 Energy Nutrition In Ruminants. Elsevier Applied Science Publisher Ltd, London.

1
Salem A Z M, Chuan-she Z, Zhi-liang T, Mellado M, Salazar M C, Elghandopur M M M Y and Odongo N E 2013 *In vitro* ruminal gas production kinetics of four fodder trees ensiled with or without molasses and urea. Journal of Integrative Agriculture 12 1234–1242.

6
Sari M, Ferret A and Calsamiglia S 2015 Effect of pH on *in vitro* microbial fermentation and nutrient flow in diets containing barley straw or non-forage fiber sources. Animal Feed Science and Technology 200 17-24.

9
Soder K J, Brito A F and Hoffman K 2011 Effect of molasses supplementation and nutritive value on ruminal fermentation of a pasture-based diet. The Professional Animal Scientist 17 35-42.

4
Spanghero M, Mason F, Zanfi C and Nikulina A 2017 Effect of diets in protein concentration (low vs medium) and nitrogen source (urea vs soybean meal) on *in vitro* rumen fermentation and on performance of finishing Italian Simmental bulls. Livestock Science 196 14–21.

Suharlina S, Astuti D A, Nahrowi N, Jayanegara A and Abdullah L 2016 *In vitro* evaluation of concentrate feed containing *Indigofera zollingeriana* in goat. Journal of the Indonesian Tropical Animal Agriculture 41 196-203, from <https://ejournal.undip.ac.id/index.php/jitaa/article/view/11028/pdf>.

Taylor-Edwards C C, Elam N A, Kitts S E, McLeod K R, Axe D E, Vanzant E S, Kristensen N B and Harmon D L 2009 Influence of slow-release urea on nitrogen balance and portal-drained visceral nutrient flux in beef steers. *Journal of Animal Science* 87 209-221.

Tilley J M A and Terry R A 1963 A two stage technique for the *in vitro* digestion of forage. *Journal of the British Grassland Society* 18 104-111.

Ungerfeld E M 2013 A theoretical comparison between two ruminal electron sink. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 4 1–15, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3812538/pdf/fmicb-04-00319.pdf>.

Zhang C M, Guo Y Q, Yuan Z P, Wu Y M, Wang J K, Liu J X and Zhu W Y 2008 Effect of octadeca carbon fatty acids on microbial fermentation methanogenesis and microbial flora *in vitro*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 146 259–269.

Zhang Y, Zhou J W, Guo X S, Cui G X, Ding L M, Wang H C, Li L W and Long R J 2012 Influences of dietary nitrogen and non-fiber carbohydrate levels on apparent digestibility, rumen fermentation and nitrogen utilization in growing yalks fed low quality forage based-diet. *Livestock Science* 147 139–147.

In vitro rumen fermentability of urea-limestone mixture combined with different sources of non-fiber carbohydrate

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** K. Phesatcha, M. Wanapat. "Tropical legume supplementation influences microbial protein synthesis and rumen ecology", *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 2017
Publication **1%**
- 2** hrcak.srce.hr
Internet Source **1%**
- 3** Rodrigo Gardinal, Jefferson Rodrigues Gandra, Gustavo Delfino Calomeni, Thiago Henrique Annibale Vendramini, Caio Seiti Takiya, José Esler de Freitas Júnior, Heraldo Namorato de Souza, Francisco Palma Rennó. "Effects of polymer coated slow-release urea on ruminal fermentation and nutrient total tract digestion of beef steers", *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 2016
Publication **1%**
- 4** sav.uniud.it
Internet Source **1%**

5

sutir.sut.ac.th:8080

Internet Source

1%

6

Submitted to University of Southern California

Student Paper

1%

7

www.7snn.com

Internet Source

1%

8

H.A.A. Omer. "Replacement of Clover Hay by Biologically Treated Corn Stalks in Growing Sheep Rations", Journal of Agricultural Science, 02/01/2012

Publication

1%

9

ejournal.unisba.ac.id

Internet Source

1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 1%

Exclude bibliography On