

Volume 10 / Number 3 / March 2019

Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development

An International Journal

SCOPUS IJPHRD CITATION SCORE

Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development Scopus coverage years: from 2010 to 2018 Publisher: R.K. Sharma, Institute of Medico-Legal Publications ISSN:0976-0245E-ISSN: 0976-5506 Subject area: Medicine: Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health Cite Score 2017- 0.03

Cite Score 2017- 0.03 SJR 2017- 0.108 SNIP 2017- 0.047



Website: www.ijphrd.com

138.	Maternal Care among Madurese through the Form of Culture	683
139.	Implementation of Premenstrual Gymnastics on Intensity of Pain dan Level of Anxiety	689
140.	Dietary Diversity in Agricultural and Coastal Area as Potential Source for the Prevention of Child Stunting in Sidoarjo District	696
141.	Eating Patterns and Physical Activity to Reduce Diabetes Melitus Type 2	701
142.	Relationship between Cadres Counseling about Diarrhea to Handling Child Diarrhea	709
143.	The Effect of Health Education about PJAS and PHBS on Students Grade V SDN 001 Sungai Kunjang, Samarinda Yakub Andriyadi, Wahnadita Rahman, Dayang Arini, Raudhatun Nisya HL, Dina Lusiana Setyowati	716
144.	Insights into Urban Informal Workers in Indonesia: Health Insurance Enrollment, Adverse Selection Issue and Access to Health Care Budi Aji, Arih Diyaning Intiasari, Siti Masfiah	722
145.	Improvement of Water Quality after Implementation of Water Safety Plans (WSPs) in Semarang City, Indonesia	725
146.	Social-Ecological Risk Determinant and Prediction For Dengue Transmission	732
147.	Determinants of Plumbun Level in Blood among Elementary School Students in Cinangka, Bogor Nissa Noor Annashr, I Made Djaja, Kusharisupeni	738
148.	Immunization Coverage on Infant in High-Risk Area in Semarang City Indonesia	744
149.	Qualitative Study: Patients Perception of PITC in Semarang's Hospitals	748
150.	System Dynamic Model of Leptospirosis Control in Demak, Indonesia, 2014	753
151.	Maternal Height as an Determinant Factors of Children not to be Stunting Until Age 59 Months	765
152.	A Community-Based Study on the Association <i>Helicobacter pylori seropositive</i> to upregulate Cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) Expression	772
153.	Individual Characteristic and Cadmium Level in Blood on Tradisional Metal Foundry Workers	777

Immunization Coverage on Infant in High-Risk Area in Semarang City Indonesia

Sutopo Patria Jati¹, Martini Martini², Syamsulhuda Budi Mustofa³, Ayun Sriatmi⁴, Nikie Astorina Yunita Dewanti⁵, Budiyono Budiyono⁵

¹Department Administration and Health Policy, ²Departement of Epidemiology and Tropical Diseases, ³Departement of Health Promotion, ⁴Departement of Administration and Health Policy, ⁵Departement of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

In 2013, the national target of UCI was 86%, and 20% villages in Indonesia have not yet reached the national standard coverage. High risk Areas were very risky to have lower coverage. The aim this research was to identify the coverage of immunization (UCI) in Semarang City. The research is an observational descriptive with quantitative and qualitative approach. The high-risk areas in this study were Bandarharjo, Dadapsari, Kuningan, Pedurungan Lor, and Tanjungmas villages. The sample was 64 infants from all villages and the respondent were the infant's parent. UCI was measured using Rapid Card Check (RCC) form recommended by UNICEF. The results of the study indicated that several infants were still unimmunized. High-risk areas meant that the areas status was economically poor, crowded, and bad sanitation. Under-five mothers refused to immunize their babies for any reasons, such as because of religion, preoccupation, and sickness. Immunization was infant's right. Immunization was very important to maintain the infant's health from the disease in case of outbreak. Understanding of the infant's mother was necessary to raise the coverage of immunization in Semarang City.

Keywords: Immunization coverage, UCI, RCC, High risk

INTRODUCTION

To eradicate infectious diseases is very difficult because their spread might go anywhere even across administrative boundaries. To prevent the spread of the disease to other areas, immunization is one of the measures although it is very cost effective. Immunization is of primary prevention efforts.⁽¹⁾

According to Law Number 36, 2009 on Health, immunization is one of priority activities of the Ministry of Health. The main objective of the immunization program is to reduce morbidity and mortality caused by

Corresponding Author:

Martini

Department Epidemiology and Tropical Diseases Public Health Faculty, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof. Sudarto, SH, Tembalang, Semarang, 50275 Email: tinihen65@yahoo.co.id preventable diseases by immunization (PDI). PDI is a contagious disease that potentially leads to outbreaks and death especially in Toddlers.⁽²⁾

Routine Data of Directorate General of P2P of 2015 showed that the coverage of complete basic immunization from 2013-2015 decreased from 89.9%, 86.9%, to 86.5% nationally.⁽³⁾ According to routine data of 2013, the complete basic immunization coverage reached its target as stated in Strategic Plan (Renstra) of the Ministry of Health.⁽⁴⁾ However, in 2014 and 2015, the immunization coverage did not reach its target of the strategic plan.⁽⁵⁾

In 2013-2015, the coverage of complete basic immunization in Central Java was 100.7%, 93.4%, and 97.2%. In the case of PDI, measles was considered extraordinary events (KLB), as there were 32 cases in 2013, 308 cases in 2014, and 576 cases in 2015.⁽⁶⁾

In Semarang City during 2013-2015, the basic immunization coverage decreased. The coverage of HB0

immunization in 2013-2015 was 113%, 88%, and 94%; of BCG immunization was 118%; 102%; and 100%. Meanwhile, the immunization of Penta 3 was 121%; 100%, and 101%; of Polio 4 immunization was 120%, 100%, and 102%; and of Measles immunization was 122%, 101%, and 104%.⁽⁷⁾

In 2013, approximately 20% of villages in Indonesia did not meet the national UCI target of 86%. In Central Java during 2013-2015, the achievement of UCI was 99.14%, 99.7%, and 99.95%. (6) Meanwhile, in Semarang City in 2015, the number of villages that meet UCI was > 80%, as many as 177 villages (100%) from 177 villages. This number is still the same until today since 2013. (7)

The Government at district/municipal and provincial level undertaken by community health center shall carry out national planning for the implementation of immunization. The immunization planning includes identifying the location, logistical needs, and funding. In the era of decentralization, the success of the immunization program is largely determined by strong commitment, operational cost support, and other resources provided by local governments.

The condition of health of infants and toddlers in Semarang City is still high at the level of community health center. Based on the problems, the coverage of immunization in high risk areas in Semarang City was being the focus of the investigation

METHOD

The research design used in this research was descriptive observational with quantitative and qualitative approach that describes immunization coverage in Semarang City. The population was all parents who have babies of < 2 years old living in highrisk areas in Semarang City i.e. Bandarharjo, Dadapsari, Kuningan, Pedurungan Lor, and Tanjungmas. The sample was parents who have babies of < 2 years old in Semarang City with a total sample of 64 respondents. The technique in sampling in this research was random sampling. This research was conducted in 1 month from February-March 2017.

The research instrument used was Rapid Card Check (RCC) form recommended by UNICEF. The research variables include immunization coverage and accuracy of immunization.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Criteria of High Risk Community and Sources of Immunization Information

37. • 1.1	Yes		No			
Variables	f	%	f	%		
HRC Criteria						
Slum	18	28.1	46	71.9		
Poor	16	25.0	48	75.0		
Boro	1	1.6	63	98.4		
Minority	15	23.4	49	76.6		
River banks	0	0	64	100		
Railway Sides	0	0	64	100		
Certain religion	0	0	64	100		
Certain ethnicity	0	0	64	100		
Others	0	0	64	100		
Source of information						
Health worker	41	64.1	23	35.9		
Kader	25	39.1	39	60.9		
School	0	0	64	100		
Religion Leaders	4	6.3	60	93.8		
Friend/Neighbor	9	14.1	55	85.9		
TV	11	17.2	53	82.8		
Radio	0	0.0	64	100		
Newspaper	1	1.6	63	98.4		
Leaflet	0	0	64	100		
Banner	0	0	64	100		
Poster	2	3.1	62	96.9		
Others	4	6.3	60	93.8		

Table 1 shows that 18 out of 64 respondents chose slums as the greatest criteria in high-risk groups; while, riverbank, rail sides, religion, and ethnic were not categorizing as high-risk groups criteria.

Furthermore, 64.1% of respondents received immunization information from health workers; while, information from schools, radio, leaflets, and banners were not the sources for respondents.

Table 2: Coverage and Accuracy of Immunization

Variables	Yes		No				
Variables	f	%	f	%			
Coverage of Immunization							
HB0	47	73.4	17	26.6			
BCG	49	76.6	15	23.4			
Penta 3	31	48.4	33	51.6			

Conted...

Polio 4	33	51.6	31	48.4	
Measles	27	42.2	37	57.8	
Accuracy of Immunization					
HB0	43	67.2	21	32.8	
BCG	42	65.6	22	34.4	
Penta 3	22	34.4	42	65.6	
Polio 4	21	32.8	43	67.2	
Measles	18	28.1	46	71.9	

According to data research of basic immunization coverage in Semarang City, many parents did not immunize their children in that region. The most immunization not given was penta 3 immunization as many as 51.6% and measles as many as 57.8%.

The result showed that many mothers did not properly provide immunization to their baby. For HB0 immunization, 67.2% had immunized their baby at the age of 0-7 days; while, 32.8% of infants were immunized improperly time.

For BCG, 65.6% infants had received BCG immunization before their infants were 1 month old; while, 34.4% of infants were immunized improperly time.

For Penta 3, 34.4% of infants had been immunized Penta 3 before the baby was 4 months old; while, 65.6% of infants were immunized not at proper time.

For Polio 4, 32.8% of infants had received Polio 4 immunization before the baby was 4 months old; while, 67.2% of infants were immunized not at proper time.

For measles, 28.1% of infants had been immunized against Measles before the baby was 9 months old; while, 71.9% of infants were immunized not at proper time.

The result showed that immunization coverage in high-risk area in Semarang city was low because there were still infants who have not been immunized. Data from interviews showed that mothers did not immunize their children for several reasons such as sick children, parents were busy working, lack of knowledge, and religious factors believed that the vaccine used was forbidden (haram).

The research conducted Arumsari (2015) concluded that the reason most often raised by mothers who do not immunize their baby is due to busy mom working and inappropriate schedule of immunization. (8) Meanwhile,

Maryani and Sulastri (2009) stated that there are values and beliefs influence mother not to immunize their infant taken place in Blumbang Village Tawangmangu Subdistrict, Karanganyar Regency.⁽⁹⁾

Parents also stated that health officer's factors also affected the implementation of immunization by mothers. This finding was in accordance with the research conducted Adriani (2015) who stated that health officers who have poor performance affect the coverage of immunization. This was also in line with the research of Kontesa & Mistuti (2013) in working area of community health center of Air Dingin, Kecamatan Koto Tangah, Kota Padang that more than half (57.6%) of respondents stated that a health officer in the working area of community health center Air Dingin, KotoTangah Subdistrict perform poorly.

The absence of counseling from cadres caused little information of immunization mothers obtained and the mother feared about the emergence of ill effects after immunization. The lack of cadres in maximum reaching the mother was caused by several factors such as limited facilities and infrastructure in making home visits, lack of knowledge about immunization, and the number of reports that cadres must do.

This finding was consistent with L. Green's theory of enabling factors that include the availability of infrastructure, health care facilities, and individual health care needs. (12) In a study conducted by Adriani (2015), there was an association between education, training, and knowledge, posyandu facilities and infrastructure, motivation, wage salary, tenure, and cadre attitude with the performance of posyandu cadres on the performance of posyandu cadres. (10)

The impact was that mothers did not immunize nor delayed in giving immunization to their children. In addition, the cadres expressed the need for cooperation with religious leaders/community leaders in supporting immunization activities to encourage and convince community that immunization is religiously accepted (halal).

CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this research is that there are still babies who still do not completely immunized on time in Semarang City. Immunization is the right of the baby. Immunization is very important to keep baby's health from disease in case of outbreak. Maternal understanding needs to be developed to increase immunization coverage in Semarang City. Support of religious leaders/community leaders can be the one of the efforts in encouraging people to immunize their children.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to extend our gratitude to the Unicef for the facilitation of funds, and Health Officer of Semarang City permits in carrying out research, as well as research respondents.

Conflict of Interest: None

Ethical Clearance: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee on Public Health Faculty, Diponegoro University on April, 25th, 2018, Number 040/EC/FKM/2018

REFERENCES

- Ranuh IG, Suyitno H, Hadinegoro SRS, Kartasasmita CB, Ismoedijanto, Soedjatmiko. Pedoman imunisasi di Indonesia. Ketiga. Jakarta: Satgas Imunisasi-IDAI; 2008. 2-9 p.
- 2. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 36 tahun 2009 Tentang Kesehatan.
- Pusat Data dan Informasi Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. 2017. Data dan Informasi Profil Kesehatan Indonesia 2016
- Balitbang Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. 2014. Riset Kesehatan Dasar 2013. Jakarta: Balitbang Kementrian Kesehatan RI

- Pusat Data dan Informasi Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. 2016. Situasi Imunisasi di Indonesia
- Dinas Kesehatan Jawa Tengah. 2016. Profil Kesehatan Provinsi Jawa Tengah Tahun 2015. Semarang: Dinas Kesehatan Provinsi Jawa Tengah
- 7. Dinas Kesehatan Kota Semarang. 2016. Profil Kesehatan Kota Semarang Tahun 2015. Semarang: Dinas Kesehatan Provinsi Kota Semarang
- 8. Arumsari, Rahmaika D. Faktor- faktor yang Berhubungan dengan Status Imunisasi Dasar pada Bayi. J Pendidik Kesehat. 2015; 4(1): 9–15.
- Maryani I, Sulastri. Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Ketidakpatuhan Ibu terhadap Pelaksanaan Imunisasi pada Balita di Desa Blumbang Kecamatan Tawangmangu Kabupaten Karanganyar. 2009; 182–90.
- Adriani M, Puadi. Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kinerja Kader Posyandu dalam Kegiatan Imunisasi Dasar Lengkap di Wilayah Kerja Puskesmas Perkotaan Rasimah Ahmad Tahun 2015. J Berk Epidemiol. 2015; 2(2): 83–92.
- 11. Kontesa M, Mistuti. 2013. Faktor Yang Berhubungan Dengan Kinerja Kader Posyandu Di Wilayah Kerja Puskesma Air Dingin Kecematan Koto Tangah Kota Padang. Padang: Jurnal STIKes MERCUBAKTIJAYA Padang.
- Green L. 1991. Health Promotion Planning An Educational and Environtmental Approach, 2nd edition. London: Mayfield Publishing Company