by Berkah Fajar Tamtomo Kiono

Submission date: 09-Jan-2020 03:39PM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1240277629 File name: Rpaper-Cooling_effect_potential_from_liquefied_petroleum_gas.pdf (763.83K) Word count: 3708 Character count: 16615 International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering ISSN: 2229-8649 (Print); ISSN: 2180-1606 (Online); Volume 14, Issue 4 pp. 4704-4714 December 2017 ©Universiti Malaysia Pahang Publishing DOI: https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.14.4.2017.9.0370



Cooling effect potential from liquefied petroleum gas flow in the fuel line of vehicle

M. Setiyo^{1*}, D.R.B. Syaka², B. Waluyo¹, N. Hamidi³, and B.F.T. Kiono⁴

INTRODUCTION

The transport sector holds an important role in a country's economy. A sustainable transportation system is everyone's expectations to have a good access to the economic opportunities and mobility needed to improve their lives [1, 2]. However, with the increasing number of vehicles on the roads, it raises the issue of pollution and concerns about the energy supply in every country [3-5]. Gasoline and diesel vehicles are clearly not the right choices in the future because they will be faced with supply and availability, government burden due to subsidies, and environmental issues especially in big cities [6]. In the last decade, as an intention to reduce the use of conventional fuels (gasoline and diesel), research activity for a mixture of fossil and alternative fuels has shown progressive results [7-11], but large-scale applications on older vehicles that are not designed for mixed fuel applications still leave much work. On the other hand, biofuel production and applications will challenge the availability of land for crop production [12]. In Indonesia, applying old vehicle retirement programmes and switching from

conventional fuels to CNG may be a long-term solution to cut subsidy costs and reduce CO_2 emissions [6, 13, 14]. Nevertheless, it will require an enormous investment to build a reliable CNG infrastructure and need a government policy in the form of incentives for vehicle retirement programs. The investment of electric vehicles (EVs) and fuel cells (FCVs) as green cars has also not been feasible for now due to limited mileage and high cost of ownership [15]. Therefore, switching to LPG becomes a viable option for the medium term, at least in the next 15-20 years [16-18]. A techno-economic review of conversion costs has also been made and shows promising results when compared to the current conventional fuel prices available without price subsidies by the government [19].

From the first time LPG was applied as vehicle fuel (the 1900s) to now, many researchers have been involved in the performance study of the gasoline engine driven by LPG, especially in the terms of emission and power improvement. Almost all studies reported positive results that the emissions from LPG engines are lower than those fuelled by gasoline [19-22]. On the contrary, many researchers reported the negative effect on low output power even in different quantities as presented Masi and Gobbato [20]. They concluded that the probability of power loss in LPG engines is lower volumetric efficiency due to the intake of air replaced by gas fuel. In other studies, various modifications of engine components and adjustment of ignition timing can reduce power losses but are generally only obtained under partial load conditions [21-24]. As it is known that as long as LPG is supplied to the intake manifold in the vapour phase, it will take up a larger volume than gasoline for the same energy content. In the study by Price et al. [24] an LPG evaporator was investigated in detail to determine the evaporative heat requirements supplied from a portion of the engine coolant stream. The results of this study confirmed that the power loss of LPG engine was due to volumetric efficiency factor. Gaseous LPG coming out of the evaporator reached 60°C which means its density decreased. However, the study by Price et al. provided another interesting fact. One the one side, a disadvantage occurred because the LPG vapour was too hot; on the other hand, there was a temperature drop in the coolant that was across the evaporator. The decrease in engine coolant temperature reached 7° C on a coolant flow of 0.6 kg/s. In the previous study, through a numerical simulation, a 2000 cm³ LPG-fuelled engine was able to produce a cooling effect of more than 1 kW at 3000 rpm [25]. In this study, various mixtures of LPG (propane to butane) were simulated. Potential cooling effects that can be harvested were calculated based on the differences in LPG enthalpy before and after the evaporator/LPG vaporiser was obtained from REFPROP-NIST, with LPG mass flow rates calculation based on the Masi and Gobato's studies [19]. Since LPG was able to evaporate at temperatures below 0°C, the heat supply from the engine coolant can be replaced with ambient air to produce a cooling effect. However, this numerical study has not considered the real condition. It is almost impossible to harvest the cooling effect of an original evaporator without adding an auxiliary evaporator because the heat transfer area is too small.

The composition of LPG in previous studies was also not obtained from the actual test results. As it is known, LPG for the automotive market is not limited by composition but limited by their properties, including energy content, resistance to knocking, and volatility [26]. In this idea, LPG from the tank is functioning as a refrigerant before it is sent to the engine as fuel. In this case, the composition of LPG is very influential because it is associated with changes in the enthalpy of evaporation. In Indonesia, the composition of LPG for the automotive sector (called LGV) has been determined by the government but not set in a definite composition, only specified by minimum C₃ and C₄ boundaries to be met in the mixture. In fact, the measurement of the composition of LPG conducted by

[27] on four refineries in Indonesia showed different results from one to another [28]. Therefore, this article presents the results of an investigation of the composition of LPG in the fuel line during operation, from full tank to empty. Furthermore, the results of these investigations were used to predict energy delivery to the engine and the potential cooling effect available.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Investigation of LPG Composition

In this study, LPG tanks were filled to the full level (20 kg) in an LGV Station. Then, the tank was placed on a digital balance sheet and left for one day. LPG tanks with multivalve, shut-off valve, expansion valve, evaporator, and flow meter were assembled as shown in Figure 1. Three thermocouples (T_1 , T_2 , T_3) and two pressure transducer (P_1 , P_2) were placed at each state point where the LPG property will be observed. The sample LPG was taken during the emptying process using a special gas syringe every 2 kg of weight loss at an LPG flowrate of 1.4 g/s. Furthermore, the sample was analysed by TQ-8040 series of Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS).



Figure 1. Experimental set up and apparatus for monitoring LPG composition.

Temperature and Pressure Measurements

During the test, temperature and pressure were continuously recorded to monitor the physical property of LPG. LPG temperature was recorded by PT-100 thermocouples, and then fed to the multi-channel modular temperature controller TM4-N2RB series. Furthermore, the temperatures data were processed and displayed on a personal computer through the DAQ master software, also in Present Value (PV). The measurements accuracy of temperature was $PV\pm0.5\%$ or $\pm1^{\circ}$ C. Meanwhile, the pressure of LPG (in gauge) before and after the expansion valve was recorded by PSAN pressure transducers in Present Value (PV). The measurement accuracy of pressure was $PV\pm0.5\%$ F.S at 0°C to 50°C and $PV\pm1\%$ F.S at -10°C to 0°C. The test results of the LPG composition were then analysed to determine the effect on the energy delivery to the combustion chamber

Setiyo et al. / International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering 14(4) 2017 4704-4714

and to estimate the potential cooling effect available. Energy delivery was calculated by the Wobbe Index and Potential Cooling effect was calculated numerically using the Cycle-tempo software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

LPG Compisitions

The results of the LPG compositions test using GC-MS are presented in Figure 1 as follows and test data are presented in Appendix 1.

Effect on Combustion Energy

From Figure 2, it can be seen that there is a fluctuation of the percentage of propane to 2methyl butane in the fuel line during the LPG tank emptying. Because propane and 2methyl butane have different energy content (Higher Heating Value, HHV), the fluctuations of the composition needed to be analysed in relation to the energy delivery to the combustion chamber. HHV propane was 50.33 kJ/kg and HHV 2-methyl butane was 48.57 kJ/kg [29]. Therefore, the fluctuation of the composition was evaluated using the Wobbe Index ($I_W = HHV/\sqrt{SG}$), where, I_W is Wobbe index, HHV is higher heating value of fuel, and SG is specific gravity. I_W is an indicator of interchangeability fuel and it was used to compare the combustion energy output of different gas fuel compositions. If two types of fuel have identical I_W , the combustion energy will also be identical, by the same pressure settings in the LPG converter kits.



Figure 2. LPG composition during fuel tank emptying at 1.4 g/s

By the SG of propane and butane 2-methyl of 0.45 and 0.62, the I_W of propane and butane 2-methyl was 71.53 and 61.68, repectively. From Figure 2, it can be seen that the change of propane to butane 2-methyl during the LPG tank emptying is 43.78% to 57.05% or $I_W = 65.99$ to $I_W = 67.29$. This means that the largest I_W to smallest I_W is only 2.32% [30]. I_W of less than 5% indicated that the change in the composition of propane to butane-2 methyl did not have a significant effect on combustion energy in SI engine [31, 32]. However, the difference in LPG composition test results may be smaller due to the uncertainty of the test by GC-MS [33-37], which indicated that the percentage of

propane to 2-methyl butane in the fuel line was unchanged. This is consistent with the result of the unchanged temperature drop during LPG tank emptying measured on the expansion valve as shown in Figure. 3.



Figure 3. Validation LPG composition change by temperature drop after expansion valve (P_{T1}=0.6 MPa and P_{T2}=0.03 MPa).

Effect on Potential Cooling Effect

One of the advantages of LPG fuel is the presence of potential cooling effects when phase changes occur from liquid to vapour. Since LPG vapour temperature is much lower than 0°C as shown in Figure 3, it provides an opportunity to be evaporated with ambient air, resulting in a cooling effect to be supplied to the cabin with the concept as shown in Figure 4 as follows [38].



Figure 4. The concept of harvesting cooling effect on LPG vehicle: (1) LPG before expansion valve, (2) LPG after expansion valve, (3) heat exchange process, and (4) LPG exit evaporator.



Setiyo et al. / International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering 14(4) 2017 4704-4714

Figure 5. Simulation resuts in Cycle-tempo: (a) to (g) are the cooling load from 0.5 kW to 3.5kW and (h) is parameter

Furthermore, the cooling effect potential was calculated by the Cycle-tempo software, where the composition of LPG was obtained from the GC-MS test results (49% propane and 51% 2-methyl butane) and its properties were obtained from Figure 3. By

assuming that the cabin cooling load of a small passenger car was from 0.5 to 3.5 kW [39, 40], the results of potential cooling effect from evaporator are presented in Figure 5 and then sumarised in Table 1.

Properties and spesific state	Determined cooling load, equal	LPG flow rate
point	to cooling effect (kW)	required (g/s)
1. LPG (49% propane and 51%	0.5	1
2-methyl butane;	1.0	2
2. LPG in fuel tank (P:7	1.5	3
Bar_g), T:28°C)	2.0	4
LPG entering evaporator	2.5	5
(P:1.3 Bar_g, T: -29°C)	3.0	6
LPG exit evaporator (P:1.3	3.5	7
Bar_g, T: 24°C)		

Table	1.	Result	of	simu	lation.

From Figure 5, the LPG flow rates have been obtained to produce a cooling effect of 0.5 to 3.5 kW. Referring to a study conducted by Masi and Gobbato [18], a 2000 cm³ engine tested at 1000 to 6000 rpm requires almost linear LPG consumption of 1.1 to 6.7 g/s, yielding the equation of y = -0.2 + 1.11x, where y is the LPG consumption (g/s) and x is the engine rotation (rpm). According to this study, a 2000 cm³ engine was capable of generating a potential cooling effect of 3.35 kW at 6000 rpm. However, it is impossible for a car to be driven with a high-speed engine for a long time. A study conducted by Berry [41] noted that cars are generally driven by engine speeds below 2500 rpm to obtain an eco-driving mode, meaning that a 2000 cm3 car is equivalent to the LPG consumption of 2.8 g/s. Taking into account the data from Table 1 an LPG flow rate of 2.8 g/s will produce a potential cooling effect that can be harvested from the LPG fuel line at 1.4 kW. If the maximum cooling load for a small passenger car is 3.5 kW, the cooling effect contribution from LPG stream in the fuel line to the cooling load is about 40% at ecodriving mode. If the 40% contribution can be fully utilised, the cooling effect obtained from the LPG fuel flow in the fuel line is greater than the over-consumption of fuel due to the operation of the vapour compression air conditioning system. For comparison, based on the results of the Centre for Energy Studies (CENERG) and the French Energy Agency (ADEME) studies, the over-consumption of fuel due to the air conditioning system is between 16 and 31% for the extra-urban cycle and urban cycles as reported by [42]. Thus, the lower combustion efficiency of the LPG-fuelled engine compared to gasoline engine can be covered by harvesting cooling effects on the fuel line for cabin cooling, resulting in increased total efficiency.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the LPG composition test during the tank emptying showed that the change in propane composition to 2-methyl butane was insignificant. This means that there was no effect on energy content transferred to the combustion chamber. Furthermore, the simulation results related to the potential cooling effect that can be harvested from LPG phase changes in the fuel line showed promising results. A 2000 cm³ engine operated in eco-driving was capable of producing the cooling effect potential of 0.5 -1.4 kW,

Setiyo et al. / International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering 14(4) 2017 4704-4714

depending on ethe ngine speed. If this potential can be fully utilised, it will contribute about 40% to the cooling load on small passenger cars with a cooling load of 3.5 kW.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank to Universitas Muhammadiyah Magelang, Indonesia for financial assistance and laboratory facilities

Mass discharge	Peak	R. Time	Area	Area (%)	Height	Name
0 %	1	1.267	69551657	57.05	32625517	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.298	52299212	42.90	25071666	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.398	65612	0.05	41762	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
10 %	1	1.238	85531696	50.70	37277932	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.269	82981259	49.19	35672376	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.368	193634	0.11	130253	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
20 %	1	1.269	77961730	46.92	37349956	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.318	88003242	52.97	38180655	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.400	177028	0.11	126825	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
20.04		1 2 10	B O (SO 10 1	50.41	20200211	D ((14.0))
30 %	1	1.248	79658424	52.41	38798241	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.276	72229777	47.52	32020400	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.376	98434	0.06	79512	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
40.97	1	1 262	64021277	17.82	22580202	Dranana (CAS)
40 %	2	1.202	049212//	47.82	32380393	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.290	10/3/212	52.10	28/9848/	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.390	105399	0.08	//3/3	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
50 %	1	1 240	56348706	44 79	32814042	Propage $(C \land S)$
50 70	2	1.240	69365413	55 13	27836513	Butane 2 -methyl- (CAS)
	23	1 369	98424	0.08	82856	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	5	1.507	20424	0.00	02050	Dutane, 2-methyl ⁻ (CAS)
60 %	1	1.233	69252707	43.79	39470379	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.283	88728819	56.11	40780252	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.364	162835	0.10	132889	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
70 %	1	1.262	62747061	48.72	33082575	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.291	65955404	51.22	27147997	Butane, 2-methyl-(CAS)
	3	1.392	76032	0.06	56093	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
80 %	1	1.274	55239713	47.76	32537702	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.302	60368672	52.20	25227005	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.403	50468	0.04	36511	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	-					D (01.5
90 %	1	1.256	57343794	50.58	32724013	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.285	55935345	49.34	24839940	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.385	97243	0.09	70756	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
100.07	1	1.250	0000010	10.00	46144625	Dramana (CAS)
100 %	1	1.256	82290810	46.62	40144635	Propane (CAS)
	2	1.308	94130281	53.33	402/78/1	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)
	3	1.389	93813	0.05	77477	Butane, 2-methyl- (CAS)

Appendix 1. LPG compositions during fuel tank emptying taken from GC-MS.

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT				
8 SIMILA	% ARITY INDEX	4% INTERNET SOURCES	4% PUBLICATIONS	5% STUDENT PAPERS	
PRIMAR	RY SOURCES				
1	ejournal.u	upi.edu		3	%
2	Submitte Student Paper	d to Universitas I	Brawijaya	2	%
3	Muji Setiy Hamidi, S character on an LP Refrigera Publication	yo, Sudjito Soepa Slamet Wahyudi. Fistics of a ½ cycl G fuel system", I tion, 2017	arman, Nurkho "Cooling effeo le refrigeration nternational J	ct n system ournal of	%
4	Submittee Pakistan Student Paper	d to Higher Educ	ation Commis	sion 1	%
5	researcho	online.gcu.ac.uk		1	%
6	jast-journ	al.springeropen.	com	<1	%

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off		

GRADEMARK REPORT		
FINAL GRADE	GENERAL COMMENTS	
/1	Instructor	
/		
PAGE 1		
PAGE 2		
PAGE 3		
PAGE 4		
PAGE 5		
PAGE 6		
PAGE 7		
PAGE 8		
PAGE 9		