

Thin Layer Drying Kinetics of of Roselle

by Berkah Fajar Tamtomo Kiono

Submission date: 09-Jan-2020 03:35PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1240277152

File name: Rpaper_-_Thin_Layer_Drying_Kinetics_of_of_Roselle.pdf (43.75K)

Word count: 2422

Character count: 10818

Thin Layer Drying Kinetics of Roselle

Suherman, B. Fajar, H. Satriadi, O. Yuariski, R.S. Nugroho and A. Shobib
Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

10

INTRODUCTION

Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) is a tropical shrub found around the world with an approximate height of three meters. Roselle calyx is utilized in the processing of fruit preserves, jellies and jams for its rich content in pectin, ascorbic acid and anthocyanin color (Mouning and Badrie, 2006; Pouget *et al.*, 1990; D'Heureux and Badrie, 2004). In addition, due to their anthocyanins content, pectins, and compounds responsible for the flavor and aroma (Hall, 1968; Ray, 1988; Beristain *et al.*, 1994; Esselen and Sammy, 1975) as well as their antioxidant, diuretic, digestive, and sedative properties (Akindahunsi and Olaleye, 2003; Carbajal *et al.*, 2005).

Roselle calyx is usually harvested at high moisture content (85%, wet basis). Therefore, drying is an important post-harvest treatment prior to reduce the moisture content and to increase the shelf life. Drying is a process comprising simultaneous heat and mass transfer. Many mathematical models have been used to describe the drying process. A considerable amount of work has been done on thin layer drying of different agricultural products. Some of the thin layer models reported were for drying of rapeseed (Duc *et al.*, 2011), litchi (Janjaia *et al.*, 2011), sorghum (Shen *et al.*, 2011), and finger millet (Radhika *et al.*, 2011).

Actually, the thin layer drying model was applied in drying of rosella (Saeed *et al.*, 2008). However, this study did not determine the effective moisture diffusivity and the temperature dependence of diffusivity. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the thin layer drying kinetics of roselle calyx, namely the thin layer

drying model, effective moisture diffusivity, and activation energy of roselle calyx. A simple diffusion model based on Fick's second law of diffusion was considered for the evaluation of effective moisture diffusivity. An Arrhenius type equation was applied to determine the temperature dependence of diffusivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental studies were carried out in laboratory of Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia.

Drying experiments: Fresh calyces of Roselle (with 85% moisture content, w.b.) were collected from the a field in Semarang. The seed's capsules were removed before commencing the drying experiments, and the calyces were used as whole. The initial and final moisture content of the samples was determined by oven method at 105°C (Ruiz, 2005).

A laboratory tray dryer was used for drying, which consist of a blower, heater, and temperature controller. A convective oven was used to determine the initial and final moisture content. The dimension of the drying chamber were 0.5×0.4×0.4 m.

Roselle samples of 250g were dried in dryer at temperatures 40, 50 and 60°C. The air velocity was 1.5-1.6 m/s, which was measured using anemometer. Moisture loss was measured using digital balance and recorded each 5 min with an accuracy of ±0.01g. When the weight of samples reached almost constant, the experiment was stopped.

Mathematical modeling: The experimental roselle calyx drying data at three different temperatures were fitted using eleven thin layer drying models listed in Table 1. In these models, MR represents the dimensionless moisture ratio namely $MR = (M - M_e) / (M_o - M_e)$, where M is the moisture content at any time, Mo is the initial moisture content and Me is the equilibrium moisture content. The values of Me may be relatively small compared to M and Mo, so the equation can be simplified to $MR = M / M_o$ (Radhika *et al.*, 2011).

The non linear regression analysis in the present study was performed using the software MATLAB 7.0. Statistical parameters such as the correlation coefficient (R^2), the chi square (χ^2) and the root mean square error (RMSE) were used to assess the goodness of the fitting. The best fit was that which results in higher R^2 and the lowest χ^2 and RMSE (Duc *et al.*, 2011; Janjaia *et al.*, 2011; Radhika *et al.*, 2011; Shen *et al.*, 2011). The reduced c^2 and RMSE were evaluated as:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (MR_{exp,i} - MR_{pre,i})^2}{N - z} \quad (1)$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (MR_{exp,i} - MR_{pre,i})^2}{N}} \quad (2)$$

where, $MR_{exp,i}$ is the i^{th} experimentally observed moisture ratio, $MR_{pre,i}$ is the i^{th} predicted moisture ratio, N is the number of observations and Z, the number of constants in models.

Effective diffusivity and activation energy: It has been accepted that the drying characteristics in the falling rate period could be described by using Fick's diffusion equation (Crank, 1975). The form Eq. (3) could be used for particles with slab geometry by assuming uniform initial moisture distribution and for long drying time.

$$MR = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \exp\left[-\pi^2 \frac{D_{eff}}{4L^2} t\right] \quad (3)$$

where D_{eff} is the effective diffusivity (m^2/s); L is the half thick-ness of slab (m). Then, Eq. (3) is written in a logarithmic form as follows:

$$\ln(MR) = \ln\left[\frac{8}{\pi^2}\right] - \pi^2 \frac{D_{eff}}{4L^2} t \quad (4)$$

Diffusivity could be typically determined by plotting experimental drying data in terms of $\ln MR$ versus drying time in Eq. (4), and calculated by these slope of the




Fig. 1: Drying curve of roselle calyx at different temperatures

providing a straight line. The effective moisture diffusivity could be related with temperature by simple Arrhenius equation as given below (Shen *et al.*, 2011):

$$D_{eff} = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \quad (5)$$

where D_{eff} is the effective moisture diffusivity (m^2/s), D_0 is the constant equivalent to the diffusivity at infinitely high temperature (m^2/s). E_a is the activation energy (kJ/mol), R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/(mol K)), and T is the absolute temperature (K). The activation energy (E_a) and the constant (D_0) could be determined by plotting $\ln(D_{eff})$ versus $1/T$ after linearization for Eq. (5).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The changes in moisture content with time for three different drying air temperatures are shown in Fig. 1, which indicated that the moisture ratio decreased with the increased drying time. Further it can be observed that the drying air temperature has an important effect on the drying rate and the total drying process was found to be occurred in the falling rate period only. Therefore, the drying behavior of the Rosella was diffusion governed.

Eleven thin-layer drying models were fitted to the experimental data of moisture ratio of roselle calyx dried at three different temperatures and the fitted thin layer models given in Table 1. The parameter values of R^2 , χ^2 , RMSE and the drying model coefficients were listed in Table 2. It is assumed that the model which has highest R^2 and the lowest χ^2 and RMSE could be considered as the best fit. The Newton model was found to be the best, followed by Henderson and Pabis, Two term model, and Two term exponential.

Furthermore, to take into account the effects of drying temperature on the model parameter k in Newton model, and attempting to generalize the model, a regression analysis was applied to set up the relationship between k parameter and the temperature. Then the

8

Table 1: Thin layer drying models

No	Model name	Equation	Reference
1	Newton	$MR = \exp(-kt)$	Ayensu (1997)
2	Page	$MR = \exp(-kt^n)$	Menges and Ertekin (2006)
3	Modified Page	$MR = \exp(-kt)^n$	White <i>et al.</i> (1981)
4	Modified Page II	$MR = \exp(-c(t/L)^n)$	Midilli <i>et al.</i> (2002)
5	Henderson and Pabis	$MR = a \exp(-kt)$	Kashaninejad <i>et al.</i> (2007)
6	Modified Henderson and Pabis	$MR = a \exp(-kt) + b \exp(-gt) + c \exp(-ht)$	Yaldiz and Ertekin (2001)
7	Logarithmic	$MR = a \exp(-kt) + c$	Togrul and Pehlivan (2002)
8	Two term model	$MR = a \exp(-k_1 t) + b \exp(-k_2 t)$	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2007)
9	Two-term exponential	$MR = a \exp(-kt) + (1-a) \exp(-kat)$	Sacilik <i>et al.</i> (2006)
10	Simplified Fick's diffusion	$MR = a \exp(-c(t/L^2))$	Diamante and Munro (1991)
11	Diffusion approach	$MR = a \exp(-kt) + (1-a) \exp(-kbt)$	Togrul and Pehlivan (2002)

Table 2: Statistical results of different thin layer drying models

Model	T (°C)	Model constants	R ²	χ ²	RMSE
Newton	40	k = 0.0182	0.9801	0.0009	0.0294
	50	k = 0.0259	0.9882	0.0011	0.0320
	60	k = 0.0335	0.9963	0.0004	0.0187
Page	40	k = 0.3199 n = 0.2792	0.3842	0.0302	0.1632
	50	k = 0.3838 n = 0.2660	0.7003	0.0304	0.1613
	60	k = 0.4392 n = 0.2681	0.7195	0.0309	0.1616
Modified Page	40	k = 0.6119 n = -0.0639	-0.9196	0.0940	0.2881
	50	k = 0.5875 n = 0.0027	0.3132	0.0696	0.2442
	60	k = 0.6255 n = -0.0339	0.2224	0.0855	0.2690
Modified Page II	40	c = 0.3199 n = 0.2792	-3.0379	0.1978	0.4178
	50	c = 0.3838 n = 0.2660	-0.8587	0.1882	0.4017
	60	c = 0.4392 n = 0.2681	-1.0627	0.2269	0.4381
Henderson and Pabis	40	a = 0.9118 k = 0.0154	0.9802	0.0010	0.0293
	50	a = 0.9149 k = 0.0223	0.9901	0.0010	0.0293
	60	a = 0.8947 k = 0.0279	0.9867	0.0015	0.0351
Modified Henderson and Pabis	40	a = 0.8131 b = 0.3747 c = 0.5229	-1.5267	0.0967	0.2502
		k = 0.1995 g = 0.0028 h = 0.5875			
		a = 0.8019 b = 0.3710 c = 0.5250			
	50	k = 0.2155 g = 0.0060 h = 0.5849	-1.0637	0.1058	0.2459
		a = 0.8053 b = 0.3524 c = 0.5376			
		k = 0.2413 g = 0.0096 h = 0.5786			
Logarithmic	40	a = 0.6471 k = 0.0214 c = 0.1737	0.7830	0.0114	0.0968
	50	a = 0.6473 k = 0.0310 c = 0.1721	0.8951	0.0116	0.0954
	60	a = 0.6384 k = 0.0433 c = 0.1710	0.8831	0.0141	0.1043
Two term model	40	a = 0.8229 k1 = 0.0133	0.9582	0.0024	0.0425
		b = 0.1209 k2 = 0.2965			
		a = 0.8205 k1 = 0.0192			
	50	b = 0.1220 k2 = 0.2942	0.9825	0.0021	0.0390
		a = 0.8039 k1 = 0.0246			
		b = 0.1228 k2 = 0.2891			
Two-term exponential	40	a = 0.5738 k = 0.0233	0.9673	0.0009	0.0285
	50	a = 0.5705 k = 0.0334	0.9662	0.0012	0.0315
	60	a = 0.5733 k = 0.0432	0.9923	0.0003	0.0149
Simplified Fick's diffusion	40	a = 0.9118 c = 0.0154	0.9654	0.0010	0.0293
	50	a = 0.9149 c = 0.0223	0.9706	0.0011	0.0293
	60	a = 0.8947 c = 0.0279	0.6227	0.0141	0.1043
Diffusion approach	40	a = 0.6456 b = 0.2312 k = 0.0321	0.9285	0.0022	0.0421
	50	a = 0.6464 b = 0.2323 k = 0.0460	0.9302	0.0026	0.0452
	60	a = 0.6468 b = 0.2263 k = 0.0618	0.9578	0.0016	0.0349

equations relating the constants of the Newton model with the drying temperature are the following:

$$MR = \exp(-kt), \text{ where } k \text{ is constant}$$

$$k = 0.000765T - 0.012383, R^2 = 0.999986$$

Thus, the thin layer model for Rosella calyx was:

$$MR = \exp[-(0.000765T - 0.012383)t]$$

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the predicted and experimental data of thin-layer drying of roselle calyx at three temperatures for the Newton model. It may be observed from the figure that the agreement between experimental values and predicted values of this model is excellent.

The effective diffusivities of the rosella calyx at three different temperatures was evaluated by plotting $\ln MR$ vs t (Fig. 3) and the data was presented in Table 3. The




Fig. 2: Drying curves for the experimental data and that predicted based on the Newton model




Fig. 3: lnMR vs drying time (min)




Fig. 4: Variation of effective diffusivity as function of temperature

Table 3: Effective diffusivities of rosella calyx at different temperatures

No	Temperature (°C)	Diffusivity (m ² /s)
1	40	1.405x10 ⁻¹⁰
2	50	1.770x10 ⁻¹⁰
3	60	2.283x10 ⁻¹⁰

values varied from 1.405×10^{-10} to 2.283×10^{-10} m²/s, and it could be obviously found the D_{eff} increased as the temperature increased.

Furthermore, the logarithm of D_{eff} as a function of the reciprocal of absolute temperature was plotted (Fig. 4.). The results showed a linear relationship between $(\ln D_{eff})$ and $(1/T)$, leading to an Arrhenius type relationship between the diffusion coefficient and temperature. According to Fig. 4, the R^2 for the regression was 0.9979.

Thereby, the diffusivity constant D_0 could be calculated as 4.5×10^{-7} m²/s and the activation energy was evaluated as 21.02 kJ/gmol.

CONCLUSION

The Newton model was the best one to describe drying process of the rosella calyx. The effective diffusivities increased with the drying temperature and varied from 1.405×10^{-10} to 2.283×10^{-10} m²/s. The temperature dependence of diffusivity follows Arrhenius type of relationship. The diffusivity constant D_0 activation energy E_a could be, respectively, estimated as 4.5×10^{-7} m²/s and 21.02 kJ/gmol.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by Directorate Higher Education, Ministry of Education of Republic of Indonesia in the program National Strategic Research No. 396/SP2H/PL/Dit.Litabmas/IV/2011.

Thin Layer Drying Kinetics of of Roselle

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

15%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to Taylor's Education Group

Student Paper

3%

2

Salvador Gonzalez-Palomares, Mirna Estarrón-Espinosa, Juan Florencio Gómez-Leyva, Isaac Andrade-González. "Effect of the Temperature on the Spray Drying of Roselle Extracts (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.)", Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 2008

Publication

2%

3

M. Kashaninejad. "Drying Characteristics of Purslane (Portulaca oleraceae L.)", Drying Technology, 2004

Publication

2%

4

İbrahim Doymaz. "Effect of ethyl oleate on drying characteristics of mulberries", Nahrung/Food, 10/01/2003

Publication

2%

5

www.icevirtuallibrary.com

Internet Source

1%

Xiao, H.W.. "Drying kinetics and quality of

6

Monukka seedless grapes dried in an air-impingement jet dryer", Biosystems Engineering, 201002

Publication

1%

7

Maosheng Yang, Changjiang Ding. "Electrohydrodynamic (EHD) drying of the Chinese wolfberry fruits", SpringerPlus, 2016

Publication

1%

8

Submitted to Middle East Technical University

Student Paper

1%

9

www.dryingworld.com

Internet Source

1%

10

JIMÉNEZ, J. MEZA, J. J. RAMÍREZ RUIZ, and I. ANDRADE GONZÁLEZ. "LOW-COST SOLAR THERMODYNAMIC DRYING SYSTEM FOR THE DEHYDRATION OF ROSELLE", The Proceedings of the 5th Asia-Pacific Drying Conference, 2007.

Publication

1%

11

www.ifrj.upm.edu.my

Internet Source

1%

12

Rak Dandamrongrak, Gordon Young, Richard Mason. "Evaluation of various pre-treatments for the dehydration of banana and selection of suitable drying models", Journal of Food Engineering, 2002

Publication

1%

13

A. Ghazanfari, S. Emami, L. G. Tabil, S. Panigrahi. "Thin-Layer Drying of Flax Fiber: II. Modeling Drying Process Using Semi-Theoretical and Empirical Models", *Drying Technology*, 2006

Publication

1%

14

Usub, T.. "Thin layer solar drying characteristics of silkworm pupae", *Food and Bioprocess Processing*, 201007/09

Publication

1%

15

Jian Chang, Ting-Ting Qi, Xiang-Dong Liu. "Numerical Simulation and Optimization of a Multilayer Belt Dryer for Granular Food Materials", 2010 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, June 20 - June 23, 2010, 2010

Publication

1%

Exclude quotes

Off

Exclude matches

< 1%

Exclude bibliography

Off

Thin Layer Drying Kinetics of of Roselle

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/1

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4
