

Manuscript JESTEC Zakki

by Ahmad Zakki

Submission date: 14-May-2018 02:07PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 963448796

File name: Manuskrip_RPI_cek_paper.pdf (1.09M)

Word count: 5909

Character count: 28114

COMPARATIVE STUDY ON CATAMARAN AND MONOHULL FOR THE HULL FORM DESIGN OF LIVESTOCK CARRIER

AHMAD F. ZAKKI^{1*}, AULIA WINDYANDARI², SUHARTO², AKBAR
RAMADHAN¹

¹Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Undip Tembalang Campus,
Jalan Prof. Sudharto S.H., 50275, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

²School of Vocation, Diponegoro University, Undip Tembalang Campus,
Jalan Prof. Sudharto S.H., 50275, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: ahmadfzakki@undip.ac.id

Abstract

The process of transporting cattle (livestock transportation) between islands takes a long sailing time, therefore the vessel should be arranged to provide the reliable services for the animal welfare even under severe condition during sea transport. Different from the crew and occupants that have the ability to abandon the ship while the accident occurs, the live animals are absolutely depending on the vessel and its system. Therefore the livestock carrier should be designed by adopting an innovative hull form that offers a better safety performance and maintains the ship motion which is animal will not drop their foothold in most sailing circumstances. The aim of the research is to compare the performance of catamaran and monohull technology for the hull form design of the livestock carrier as a vessel that transport the commodities such as cows, goats, and sheep. The investigation of resistance, intact stability and seakeeping performance of the both of hull forms type will be discussed.

Keywords: Catamaran, Monohull, Livestock Carrier.

1. Introduction

In 2010, the population in Java and Sumatera is about 186.7 million people or 78.8 percent of the total population of Indonesia. By the assumption of beef consumption of 2.2 kg per capita (Ministry of Commerce), it is predicted that the demand for the beef in Java and Sumatra is estimated at 410 million kg per year, equivalent to 2.98 million numbers of cows, [1]. Regarding the amount of the beef consumption compared with the population data of the cows in Java and Sumatera which is around 8.6 million cows, the need of beef consumption in both locations should be able to be fulfilled. However, the number of existing cattle

Nomenclatures

B	An overall beam of the ship (Fig. 3), m
b	A beam of each hull in a catamaran (Fig. 3), m
S_T	The tunnel width (Fig. 3), m
H_T	The tunnel height (Fig. 3), m
H_B	The depth of cross-deck (Fig. 3), m
C_F	Friction resistance coefficient (Fig. 4)
C_R	Residuary resistance coefficient (Fig. 4)
ΔC_T	The correlation coefficient (Fig. 4)
GZ	Righting arm, m
GM	Metacentre height, m
c	Livestock shift constant
m	The average mass of livestock per animal, tonnes
f	Floor area per animal, m^2
F	Fodder heeling moment, tonnes m
S	Stowage factor of fodder
P	Wind pressure, tonnes/ m^2
A	The lateral area of the vessel above the waterline, m^2
H	Vertical separation of centroid, m
D	Displacement, tonnes
OW	The heeling arm at 0° due to wind, m
WL	The heeling arm at 0° due to the shift of livestock, m
LF	The heeling arm at 0° due to the effect of fodder shift, m
WW_1	The heeling arm curve due to wind, (Fig.5)
LL_1	The heeling arm curve due to combined wind and livestock shift
FF_1	The heeling arm curve due to all factors
θ_2	The angle of heel due to wind, deg
A	Area under GZ curve minus area under heeling arm curve
B	Area under GZ and heeling arm curve

Abbreviations

ITTC	International Towing Tank Conference
MO43	Marine Order 43 (cargo and cargo handling-livestock)

population might not be used entirely for consumption, since the many of livestock nurturing in Java Island is a family saving with an average cattle ownership rate of 1-2 heads per household. Therefore the availability of beef to accomplish the needs of consumption does not be fulfilled definitely.



Fig. 1. Livestock Transportation using Truck and General Cargo Ship

An effort to achieve these needs is done by transporting the cows from the production central areas such as Bali and Nusa Tenggara to the Java Island. The islands of Bali and Nusa Tenggara inhabited by 5.5 percent of Indonesia's population have 14.18 percent of the national population, [1]. The transportation of cows to the Java Island should be supported by transportation mode which is equipped with the system to ensure the animal welfare.

The process of transporting cattle (livestock transportation) between islands takes a long sailing time, therefore the vessel should be arranged to provide the reliable services for the animal welfare even under severe condition during sea transport. In the meantime, many vehicles such as ships, trucks, and trains which are used as cattle transportation facilities are not specially designed to support livestock transportation, see Fig. 1. Different from the crew and occupants that have the ability to abandon the ship while the accident occurs, the live animals are absolutely depending on the vessel and its system. Therefore livestock carrier should be designed by adopting an innovative hull form that maintains the ship motion which is animal will not drop their foothold. The bunching animal to one side of the ship generates undesirable influence to the roll motion.


The aim of the research is to compare the performance of catamaran and monohull technology for the hull form design of the livestock carrier in achieving animal transport operability under Indonesia Waterway conditions. The hull form designs made for the livestock carrier were designed according to monohull non-bulbous bow type, monohull with bulbous bow type and catamaran type. Subsequently, the three hull form designs were analysed for their calm water resistance, intact stability and the vessels behaviour in Beam Sea, Quartering Sea and Head Sea using numerical analyses.

In this study, the articles reviewed mostly deal with ro-ro (Roll on Roll off) ship technology, since the livestock carrier has similar characteristics with the ro-ro ship, especially on the ramp door system and the subdivision of the compartment which eliminates the transverse bulkhead. Santos, T. A., [2], studied transient asymmetric flooding on ro-ro liners in theory and experiment. Experimental results show that transient asymmetric flooding can cause a capsizing vessel for the type of ro-ro barge (ro-ro barge). Internal arrangements on ro-ro ships should be carefully reviewed and detailed at the design stage to avoid the occurrence of capsizing phenomena. Erik Sonne Ravn, [3] investigates the probability of damage stability on ro-ro ships by considering the division of compartments based on the probability concept of damage stability. The study parameters indicate that the main contributor in determining the Attained Subdivision Index is the position of the ro-ro deck, the KG position, the existence of the side casing and some cases where the studied design lies at the bottom of the Attained Index range is influenced also by the number of transverse blocks. Sven Otto, [4], studied the effects of collision damage and aggravation. The consequences of collisions and flooding are estimated using damage criteria connected to the distribution of damage sizes and location of damage. The results of the study show that the annual risks to ro-ro boats are caused by vessel capsize after severe damage. Chan, H. S., [5], predicts the dynamic global wave load on ro-ro vessels at zero speed under regular oblique wave conditions. The results show that the non-linear time domain simulation method is very good in predicting vertical and dynamic horizontal bending moments. Dynamic global vertical wave loads in damage conditions (hull damage) are larger than intact conditions (whole hull). Emin


Korkut, [6] - [7], conducted an experimental study on the behaviour of ro-ro ship movements on damage and intact conditions. Testing of the six degrees freedom movement response on the ro-ro model has been performed on regular waves for damage and intact conditions. The results of the analysis show that the damage has a bad influence on the movement response that depends on the direction of wave and wave frequency range. Surendran, S., [8], studied the dynamics of non-linear roll movements on ro-ro ships in wave conditions. Parametric investigations were performed to identify and quantify the effects of several key parameters including wave slope, wave frequency at capsizing condition of ro-ro vessel. The nonlinear ship response is specified in the frequency domain. Santos, T. A., [9] conducted a numerical assessment of factors affecting survivability in ro-ro damage vessels in wave conditions. Survivability of ships in irregular waves is calculated based on the variation of parameters, namely: vertical centre of gravity location, spectral description on shipping lane, roll damping factor, discharged coefficient, main deck height, double hull on the main deck and initial heel angle.

2. The hull form designs


The new designs are generated with starting point from the parent model. Considering the mission requirement such as sailing time, service speed and load capacity the principal dimension was determined by linear regression method. Subsequently, the modification of the parent model was made to create the new hull shape which can be seen in the Fig. 2.



(a) The monohull non-bulbous bow concept design



(b) The monohull with bulbous bow concept design



(c) The catamaran concept design

Fig. 2. The lines plan of the new hull form design

The vessels were designed for a sailing period of 7 days with 21 crews. The service speed was 80% of the operational time at 14 knots. However, the maximum speed should be able to reach 18 knots. The maximum attainable speed is depending on the hull form resistance profile. Therefore the optimum shape will be able to have a larger maximum speed than the others.

Theoretically, monohull is the simplest hull form concepts than the others. It also offers a low cost and low-risk solution compare than multihull. Monohull has been evolved through a lot of modification to accomplish the design requirements. In order to reduce the resistance of monohull, the planning hull able to generate significant lift force which is reduced the immersed body. Otherwise, the length to displacement ratio (slenderness ration) could be set higher than the conventional hull to have the minimum hydrodynamic pressure drag. However, an extreme extension of the hull length may cause an increase on the frictional drag so as to offset the low wave drag behaviour. The slenderness ratio usually misinterpreted with length to beam ratio. Although length to beam ratio generally increases the length to displacement ratio, however the latter has a significant effect on the ship resistance than the former. The increase of beam for a given length and displacement might improve the static stability problem that might be occurred on the slender monohull. The other effort can be made through the additional bulbous bow appendage that may reduce the wave making resistance.




Fig. 3. The basic dimensions of Catamaran, [10]

Catamaran is one of the multihull configurations that overcome the stability problem of the slender monohull which has low drag characteristics. It consists of two hulls which are separated with the box construction known as the cross deck at the certain distance. The basic dimensions are defined with some parameters

namely B for overall beam of the ship, b for beam of each hull, S_c for hull separation distance, S_T for the tunnel width, H_T for the tunnel height and H_B for the depth of cross-deck, see Fig. 3.

The twin hulls give the buoyancy and space for the propulsion machinery, whilst the cross deck structure provides the transverse strength of the ship. Instead of the reduced resistance, catamarans have a larger deck area and increase safety level.

3. The still water resistance

The total resistance of ship is made up of a number of different resistance components. Four main resistance components namely frictional resistance, eddy resistance wave making resistance and air resistance are considered for analysing the hull form resistance in still water. Frictional resistance is observed due to the motion of a body through the viscous fluid. Eddy resistance is the resistance which is occurred due to the energy is taken away by eddy shed from the hull. It is usually occurred at the ship stern where the water unable to follow the curvature and then separate from the body, which is rising to eddies resistance, [11]. Wave making resistance is caused by the energy which is delivered continuously to the wave system on the free surface, [12]. Finally, the air resistance occurs on the moving body which is located on the above waterline and superstructure through the air. The wave making resistance and eddy resistance usually are considered together known as the residuary resistance.




Fig. 4. The total resistance and resistance coefficients of the three hull form

The still water resistance of the three hull form designs has been evaluated using the Holtrop and Mennen method, [13-14], for the monohull. The Holtrop method is selected to evaluate the monohull because the hull form characteristics fit into applicability criteria and its proven accuracy as a well-known method for resistance prediction. However the Holtrop method not appropriate for catamaran hull form, therefore slender body method is adopted to evaluate the catamaran resistance. The slender body method calculates the wave resistance of the ship through the analytical computation of energy in the free surface wave pattern which is generated by the ship. The total resistance is calculated by adding the viscous resistance component which is estimated using ITTC'57 friction coefficient calculation method and the specified form factor.

The result of total resistance can be seen on the Fig.4. As shown in the figure the differences in the still water resistance between the three hull forms are dependent on the speed range and the type of the hull form. Approximately below 13 knots, the catamaran has a larger resistance than the monohull. Above 13 knots the two monohulls show a significant increase in the total resistance. According to resistance coefficient, it might be seen that catamaran characteristics have shown a significant improvement than the two monohulls. However, the total resistance of the catamaran is similar to the monohulls, especially on the speed below 13 knots. It might be explained that the catamaran wetted surface area of 1475 m² is significantly larger compared with the monohulls wetted surface area of 834 m². Otherwise, the catamaran shows better resistance on the speed above 13 knots.

4. The intact stability behaviour

In the monohull ship, the stability scope is at the defined position of centre of gravity that is influenced by the transversal weight shift and the centre of buoyancy shift which is occurred because of heel motion. The possible maximum shift of the centre of buoyancy could achieve a quarter of the width of the ship. It is indicated that the righting moment is limited by the hull form. Catamaran hull form enables a greater transversal shift of the centre of buoyancy that increases the righting moment at the small heeling angles. The inertial moment of the catamaran is equal to the combined of inertia of each hull. It is indicated that the hull spacing has a significant influence on the stability performance of catamaran.

The three hull forms have to apply to the general intact stability criteria for all ships, [15] and the standard stability criteria for livestock carrier, [16]. The stability criteria for livestock carrier are adopted from Marine Orders Part 43 as additional stability assessment criteria. Table 1 and Fig. 5 show the intact stability criteria that are peculiar to livestock carrier.

Table 1. Intact stability criteria for livestock carrier

Parameter	Criterion	Unit
Area under the GZ curve up to 30 degrees	3.151	m.deg
Area under the GZ curve up to 40 degrees	5.156	m.deg
Area under the GZ between to 30 and 40 degrees	1.718	m.deg
Max GZ at 30 degrees or greater	0.20	m
Angle of maximum GZ	25	deg
Initial metacentric height GM	0.15	m
Angle of hell due to wind effect	10	deg
Area A is not less than [1.03 m.deg +0.2 area (A+B)]		

According to the MO43, the intact stability of livestock carriers has to consider some factors which generate heeling arm that contribute a negative effect to the vessel stability, namely the effect of livestock shift, fodder shift and wind. The heeling arm due to the shift of livestock, the shift of fodder and the wind load at zero degree is determined as follow:

$$\text{Livestock heeling arm at } 0^\circ: \frac{m \times c}{f \times D} = LF \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Fodder heeling arm at } 0^\circ: \frac{F}{s \times D} = WL \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Wind heeling arm at } 0^\circ: \frac{0.05 \times A \times H}{D} = OW \quad (3)$$




Fig. 5. Illustration of intact stability requirement for Livestock Carrier, [16]




Fig. 6. GZ curve and heeling arm of monohull non-bulbous bow




Fig. 7. GZ curve and heeling arm of monohull with-bulbous bow




Fig. 8. GZ curve and heeling arm of catamaran hull form

The stability calculations are made with the stability calculation program. The calculation procedures require the load case definition which is described the mass distribution of the loaded vessel such as lightweight, consumables weight, and payload weight. The full load condition is determined as the loading condition on the computation. The initial condition is assumed to be even keel condition with no heel and no trim on the draught. The result of the analysis might be seen in the Fig. 6-Fig. 8.

According to the analysis results it can be seen that catamaran have a better intact stability than the monohulls. The separated twin hulls have increased the length of righting arm (GZ) substantially. The large righting arm will contribute positively to the magnitude of the righting moment. Therefore the catamaran has a significant area under the GZ curve to achieve the stability criteria. Instead of the

GZ length, the initial metacentric height also obtained to be larger than the monohulls. In the other case the catamaran is observed not comply with the requirement of the angle of maximum GZ, nevertheless, the catamaran still have the better righting arm than monohull on the heeling angle more than 25 degrees.

The intact stability of monohulls is also obtained comply with the all of intact stability criteria. The bulbous bow monohull shows better performance than the non-bulbous bow design. The comparison of the intact stability behaviour can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of intact stability behaviour of the three hull forms

Parameter	Criterion	Non-Bulbous	Bulbous	Catamaran	Status
Area under the GZ curve up to 30 degrees	3.151 m.deg	10.628	12.249	200.557	pass
Area under the GZ curve up to 40 degrees	5.156 m.deg	17.891	20.606	261.359	pass
Area under the GZ between to 30 and 40 degrees	1.718 m.deg	7.262	8.357	60.802	pass
Max GZ at 30 degrees or greater	0.2m	0.737	0.848	6.938	pass
Angle of maximum GZ	25 deg	36.5	36.9	16	fail (Cata.)
Initial metacentric height GM	0.15m	1.284	1.469	46.826	pass
Angle of hell due to wind effect	10 deg	1.0	0.9	0.02	pass
Area A is not less than [1.03 m.deg +0.2 area (A+B)]	4.606 m.deg	14.162	-	-	pass
Area A is not less than [1.03 m.deg +0.2 area (A+B)]	5.150 m.deg	-	16.876	-	pass
Area A is not less than [1.03 m.deg +0.2 area (A+B)]	54.254 m.deg	-	-	261.4	pass

5. Seakeeping Behaviour

For the seakeeping analysis in head wave, the irregular wave is defined using the JONSWAP wave spectrum shape. The integral parameters of the adopted wave spectra are described in Table 3. For defining the limits of operability for each hull form among a controllable number of scenarios it was determined to use one spectrum profile with one particular peak period and with an increment of significant wave height.

The primary outcomes from the result of seakeeping analysis in head wave environment can be seen in Table 4 and briefly presented as follow:

- For the two monohulls show roughly similar characteristics for the significant amplitudes of the three (heave, roll, and pitch) motions and accelerations
- All of the three hull forms are able to stay within the limit criteria
- In the case of vertical acceleration, the maximum peak at the livestock pen showed significant differences among the monohulls and the catamaran. The bulbous bow had 2.3% lower magnitudes when compared with the non-bulbous bow. The catamaran showed more than 18.3% lower magnitudes when compared with the bulbous bow. Although the catamaran design shows an improvement on the maximum peak acceleration at the livestock pen, however in the case of the vertical acceleration at the bow and the centre of gravity, the catamaran shows the larger magnitude of acceleration than the monohulls.
- The deck wetness of the three hull forms was quite similar. All of the designs show the deck wetness on the wave condition with 3.5 meters significant wave height. It is indicated that the operability criteria for the three hull forms should be limited to the sea environment with the significant wave height below 3.5 meters.

Table 3. Wave conditions in the seakeeping analysis

Wave condition	Spectrum Type	Significant wave height	Zero crossing period	Peak enhancement factor
1	JONSWAP	2.0	6	3.3
2	JONSWAP	2.5	6	3.3
3	JONSWAP	3.0	6	3.3
4	JONSWAP	3.5	6	3.3
5	JONSWAP	4.0	6	3.3

Table 4. The hull forms behaviour on head sea

Speed [kn]	Wave Height [m]	Vertical Acceleration on the livestock pen			The deck wetness appearance		
		No Bulb	With Bulb	Catamaran	No Bulb	With Bulb	Catamaran
14	2.0	1051	1034	970	No	No	No
	2.5	1314	1292	1212	No	No	No
	3.0	1577	1551	1455	No	No	No
	3.5	1840	1809	1697	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4.0	2103	2067	1939	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	2.0	1196	1172	1038	No	No	No
	2.5	1495	1465	1298	No	No	No
	3.0	1793	1758	1558	No	No	No
	3.5	2092	2051	1817	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4.0	2391	2344	2077	Yes	Yes	Yes
18	2.0	1324	1294	1057	No	No	No
	2.5	1655	1618	1322	No	No	No
	3.0	1986	1941	1586	No	No	No
	3.5	2317	2265	1850	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4.0	2648	2588	2114	Yes	Yes	Yes

The seakeeping analysis was carried out in the following wave to evaluate the sensitivity of the three hull forms relating to bow diving phenomenon. Simulation observations were made to presenting the behaviour of the designs. During the simulation, it was defined to model the following wave using the JONSWAP spectra and the same wave parameters as the head wave condition. The possible situations were met on the simulation analysis such as the ship was overtaking the wave, the ship was running at the wave speed and the wave was overtaking the ship. The wave height also was configured as the head wave condition.

The behaviour of the three hull forms in the following sea can be seen in Table 5. The principal findings from the result of the seakeeping analysis are described as follow:

- All of the three hull forms show a significant decrease in the vertical acceleration. The non-bulbous bow, the bulbous bow, and the catamaran have 77%, 75% and 96% lower of the maximum peak acceleration at the livestock pen than the head wave condition respectively. It is indicated that the following sea has a positive influence on the vertical acceleration of the three hull forms.
- Bow diving was occurred on the three hull forms when the significant wave height is above 3 meters.
- The increased speed has the influence to reduce the bow diving because of the increased of running trim.
- The vertical acceleration was relatively linear with the wave height for all of the three hull forms.
- All of the designs show an optimum vertical acceleration on the speed of 16 knots. It is indicated that the vertical motion due to the speed of the ship able to reduce the vertical acceleration which is generated by the following wave.

Table 5. The hull forms behaviour on the following sea condition

Speed [kn]	Wave Height [m]	Vertical Acceleration on the livestock pen			The bow diving occurrence		
		No Bulb	With Bulb	Catamaran	No Bulb	With Bulb	Catamaran
14	2.0	193	199	27	No	No	No
	2.5	241	248	34	No	No	No
	3.0	289	299	40	No	No	No
	3.5	337	348	47	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4.0	385	398	54	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	2.0	164	161	25	No	No	No
	2.5	205	202	31	No	No	No
	3.0	246	242	38	No	No	No
	3.5	287	282	44	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4.0	328	323	50	Yes	Yes	Yes
18	2.0	308	325	39	No	No	No
	2.5	385	406	49	No	No	No
	3.0	462	487	58	No	No	No
	3.5	539	569	68	Yes	Yes	Yes
	4.0	617	650	78	Yes	Yes	Yes

17
 Finally, the seakeeping analysis was made to investigate the behaviour of the ship in the stern quartering wave condition. The stern quartering wave condition is considered to evaluate the sensitivity of the hull designs regarding the couple rolling and pitch motion phenomenon and to identify the vertical acceleration response of the livestock pen on board. The models of the sea environment have the same parameters as the head and following wave conditions. The results of the simulation can be seen in Table 6

The general outcomes from the simulation analysis of the stern quartering condition can be described as follow:

- The vertical acceleration of the three hull forms shows an increased magnitude compare with the following sea condition, especially in the ship speed of 14 knots and 16 knots, however, the vertical acceleration shows a decreased magnitude on the ship speed of 18 knots. It might be explained that the additional acceleration is generated due to the roll motion which is induced by the stern quartering wave.
- The maximum roll angles are 9.45 degrees, 9.46 degrees and 1.08 degrees for no bulbous bow, with bulbous bow and catamaran respectively. It might be seen that catamaran design have roll motion amplitude significantly smaller than the monohulls. The bulbous bow design has the same roll motion amplitude with the non-bulbous bow design. It is indicated that the bulbous bow as additional appendages does not have any influence on the roll motion, but it effectively improves the resistance performance of the hull.
- The maximum speed of the ship able to reduce the vertical acceleration of the livestock pen. It is also might be seen that the increased ship speed has reduced the maximum of roll angles. It is indicated that the increased speed will reduce the influence of stern quartering wave to generate the roll motion which is increased the vertical acceleration of the livestock pen. However, the excessive additional ship speed might increase the vertical acceleration.

Table 6. The hull forms behaviour on stern quartering sea

Speed [kn]	Wave Height [m]	Vertical Acceleration on the livestock pen			The maximum of roll angles in degrees		
		No Bulb	With Bulb	Catamaran	No Bulb	With Bulb	Catamaran
14	2.0	221	289	88	4.72	4.73	0.54
	2.5	277	362	110	5.90	5.91	0.68
	3.0	332	434	132	7.08	7.10	0.81
	3.5	388	506	154	8.27	8.28	0.95
	4.0	443	578	176	9.45	9.46	1.08
16	2.0	227	297	68	4.40	4.40	0.52
	2.5	283	371	85	5.51	5.50	0.65
	3.0	340	445	102	6.61	6.60	0.78
	3.5	396	519	119	7.71	7.70	0.92
	4.0	453	593	137	8.81	8.80	1.05
18	2.0	195	266	52	4.28	4.26	0.51
	2.5	243	332	66	5.35	5.32	0.63
	3.0	292	398	79	6.42	6.39	0.76
	3.5	341	465	92	7.49	7.45	0.89
	4.0	390	531	105	8.56	8.51	1.01

6. Conclusions

A comparative study has been made of the catamaran, with bulbous bow monohull and no bulbous bow monohull as an alternative hull form for livestock carrier. This study is made using strip theory method and empirical formula for evaluating the resistance, intact stability, and seakeeping performance. The conclusions of the observation from the investigation are presented as follow:

- The catamaran design has better resistance performance in the high service speed (above 13 knots) compared with the monohulls. The residuary resistance is significantly decreased, especially in the large service speed where the monohulls show an aggressive resistance escalation. However, in the case of the lower service speed (below 13 knots), the total resistance does not differ significantly among catamaran and monohulls.
- The separated twin hull has increased the height of metacentre of the hull form. Therefore the initial stability of catamaran has enabled the larger transversal weight shifts than the monohulls. This characteristic is important to increase ship safety level for the livestock carrier which has dynamics cargo shift due to the livestock motion on the upper deck.
- In the head sea condition, the catamaran shows similar performance with the monohulls. All of the hull forms have experienced the deck wetness on the wave height above 3 meters. It is indicated that the operability of the three hull forms should be limited to the significant wave height below 3 meters.
- In the following and stern quartering sea condition, all of the three hull forms show a significant reduction on the vertical acceleration. The ship performance on following sea condition also can be indicated that the limit of ship operability is below 3 meters of the significant wave height. In the stern quartering sea condition, it might be seen that the catamaran have a smallest roll motion amplitude than the monohulls. Furthermore the increase of ship speed able to reduce the amplitude of roll motion due to the stern quartering wave for the entire hull forms.
- Although catamaran design has shown better performances than the monohulls, however, the significant improvement of resistance can be achieved only for the high service speed. Otherwise, the improved safety level through the significant increase in the metacentre height may produce very large righting moment which makes the higher acceleration of roll motion that has a negative influence on the welfare of the livestock cargo. Therefore the adoption of catamaran design should be supported by detailed analysis to determine the appropriate design parameters.

References

1. Harianto (2013). Solving the problematics of beef supply in Indonesia. Translated from Indonesian language. Retrieved May 17, 2017, from <http://economy.okezone.com/read/2013/09/20/279/869240/mengatasi-problematika-pasokan-daging-sapi>.
2. Santos, T. A.; Winkle, I. E.; and Soares, C. G. (2002). Time domain modelling of the transient asymmetric flooding of Ro-Ro ships. *Ocean Engineering*, 29(6), 667-688.

3. Ravn, E. S. (2005). *Probabilistic Damage Stability of Ro-Ro Ships*. Ph.D. Thesis. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark.
4. Otto, S.; Pedersen, P.T.; Samuelides, M.; and Sames, P. C. (2002). Elements of risk analysis for collision and grounding of a RoRo passenger ferry. *Marine Structure*, 15(4-5), 461-474.
5. Chan, H.; Atlar, M.; and Incecik, A. (2003). Global wave loads on intact and damaged Ro-Ro ships in regular oblique waves. *Marine Structure*, 16(4), 323-344.
6. Korkut, E.; Atlar, M.; and Incecik, A. (2004). An experimental study of motion behaviour with an intact and damaged Ro-Ro ship model. *Ocean Engineering*, 31(3-4), 483-512.
7. Korkut, E.; Atlar, M.; and Incecik, A. (2004). An experimental study of global loads acting on an intact and damaged Ro-Ro ship model. *Ocean Engineering*, 32(11-12), 1370-1403.
8. Surendran, S.; Lee, S.K.; Reddy, J.V.R.; and Lee, G. (2005). Non-linear roll dynamics of a Ro-Ro ship in waves. *Ocean Engineering*, 32(14-15), 1818-1828.
9. Santos, T. A.; and Soares, C. G. (2009). Numerical assessment of factors affecting the survivability of damaged ro-ro ships in waves. *Ocean Engineering*, 36(11), 797-809.
10. Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers (2004). *Ship Design and Construction, Vol II*. Sheridan Books, London.
11. Arribas, F. P. (2006). Some methods to obtain the added resistance of a ship advancing in waves. *Ocean Engineering*, 34(7), 946-955.
12. Harvald, S. A. (1983). *Resistance and Propulsion of Ship*. John Wiley.
13. Holtrop, J.; and Mennen, G. G. J. (1978). A statistical Power Prediction Method. NSMB Paper 603, 253-256.
14. Holtrop, J.; and Mennen, G. G. J. (1982). An Approximate Power prediction Method. NSMB Paper 689. 166-170.
15. International Maritime Organization (1993). IMO Code on Intact Stability A. 749(18).
16. Australian Maritime Safety Authority (2006). Marine Order 43 (Cargo and cargo handling – Livestock).

Manuscript JESTEC Zakki

ORIGINALITY REPORT

6%

SIMILARITY INDEX

4%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

1%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	iet-journals.org Internet Source	1%
2	Submitted to University of Strathclyde Student Paper	1%
3	eprints.nottingham.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
4	S. Surendran, S.K. Lee, J. Venkata Ramana Reddy, Gyoungwoo Lee. "Non-linear roll dynamics of a Ro-Ro ship in waves", Ocean Engineering, 2005 Publication	<1%
5	www.mar.ist.utl.pt Internet Source	<1%
6	Emin Korkut, Mehmet Atlar, Atilla Incecik. "An experimental study of global loads acting on an intact and damaged Ro-Ro ship model", Ocean Engineering, 2005 Publication	<1%
7	Elhadad, Aladdin, Wen Yang Duan, Rui Deng,	

and H. Elhanfey. "Numerical Analysis for Resistance Calculations of NPL as a Floating Hull for Wave Glider", Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2014.

Publication

<1%

8

documents.mx

Internet Source

<1%

9

Yudo Prasetyo, Fahrudin, Lukman Juhdi Fahri Islam. "Analysis of spatial correlation between the phenomenon land subsidence and rob (tidal inundation) using sentinel-1 SAR, GPS and geological data in Semarang city-Indonesia", AIP Publishing, 2017

Publication

<1%

10

idea.library.drexel.edu

Internet Source

<1%

11

eprints.gla.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1%

12

repository.tudelft.nl

Internet Source

<1%

13

brage.bibsys.no

Internet Source

<1%

14

Lee, Sang-Min, Uh-Cheul Jeong, and Dae-Hae Kim. "A Study on the Propulsion Performance of KCS in Still Water and Regular Wave", Journal of Korean navigation and port

<1%

research, 2013.

Publication

15

S. Surendran. "Technical note Studies on the feasibilities of control of ship roll using fins", Ships and Offshore Structures, 2006

Publication

<1%

16

eprints.soton.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1%

17

lib.tkk.fi

Internet Source

<1%

18

es.scribd.com

Internet Source

<1%

19

Clauss, Günther F., André Kauffeldt, Nils Otten, and Sven Stuppe. "Hull Optimization of the Unmanned AGaPaS Rescue Vessel", 29th International Conference on Ocean Offshore and Arctic Engineering Volume 1, 2010.

Publication

<1%

20

upcommons.upc.edu

Internet Source

<1%

21

aaltodoc.aalto.fi

Internet Source

<1%

22

Surendran, S.. "Numerical simulation of ship stability for dynamic environment", Ocean Engineering, 200307

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Manuscript JESTEC Zakki

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

PAGE 12

PAGE 13

PAGE 14

PAGE 15
