



Turnitin Originality Report

Development of Cubic Bezier Curve and Curve-Plane Intersection Method for Parametric Submarine Hull Form Design to Optimize Hull Resistance Using CFD by Deddy Chrismianto

From deddy (deddy)

Similarity Index 9%	Similarity by Source	
	Internet Sources:	5%
	Publications:	8%
	Student Papers:	3%

Processed on 18-Apr-2017 3:49 PM

WIB

ID: 800842933

Word Count: 5179

sources:

1

1% match (Internet from 26-Nov-2016)

http://etds.lib.ncku.edu.tw/etdservice/view_metadata?deptid=D0026003009&etdun=U0026-2801201610312500&from=DEPT

2

1% match (publications)

[Mondal, Arpita, and Rupanwita Gayen. "Wave interaction with dual circular porous plates". Journal of Marine Science and Application, 2015.](#)

3

< 1% match (Internet from 17-Apr-2016)

http://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/ArticleFullRecord.jsp?cn=HOGHC7_2013_v27n5_70

4

< 1% match (publications)

[Kumar, Amit, and Mangey Ram. "Performance of marine power plant given generator, main and distributed switch board failures", Journal of Marine Science and Application, 2015.](#)

5

< 1% match (Internet from 10-Sep-2015)

<http://www.slideshare.net/tinhtuhoop/cong-nghe-cadcam>

6

< 1% match (publications)

[Mondal, Arpan Kumar, Pankaj Biswas, and Swarup Bag. "Influence of tacking sequence on residual stress and distortion of single sided fillet submerged arc welded joint", Journal of Marine Science and Application, 2015.](#)

7

< 1% match (student papers from 12-Nov-2015)

[Submitted to United World College of South East Asia on 2015-11-12](#)

8

< 1% match (publications)

[Li, Xiukun, Mingye Liu, and Shu Jiang. "Morphological research on geometrical scattering waves of an underwater target", Journal of Marine Science and Application, 2015.](#)

9

< 1% match (student papers from 01-Mar-2012)

[Submitted to University of Edinburgh on 2012-03-01](#)

10

< 1% match (publications)

Ginnis, A.I., K.V. Kostas, C.G. Politis, P.D. Kaklis, K.A. Belibassakis, Th.P. Gerostathis, M.A. Scott, and T.J.R. Hughes. "Isogeometric boundary-element analysis for the wave-resistance problem using T-splines", Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 2014.

11 < 1% match (Internet from 11-Apr-2016)
<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/view/year/2009.html>

12 < 1% match (publications)
Chen, P.F.. "An inverse hull design approach in minimizing the ship wave", Ocean Engineering, 200409

13 < 1% match (publications)
Sumariyah, . Kusminarto, Arief Hermanto, Pekik Nuswantoro, Zaenul Muhlisin, and Evi Setiawati. "Modeling of Electric Potential Distribution in EHD Flow Zone Utilizing Pin-Multi Ring Concentric Electrodes", Procedia Environmental Sciences, 2015.

14 < 1% match (publications)
Alam, K., T. Ray, and S. G. Anavatti. "A new robust design optimization approach for unmanned underwater vehicle design", Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part M Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment, 2012.

15 < 1% match (publications)
Kapitler, M.; Samec, N. and Kokalj, F.. "OPERATION OF WASTE-TO-ENERGY-PLANT OPTIMISATIONS BY USING DESIGN EXPLORATION", Advances in Production Engineering & Management, 2012.

16 < 1% match (publications)
Zhongwei Yin. "A new strategy for direct generation of tool shape from a CAD model based on a meshless method", International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 6/1/2004

17 < 1% match (publications)
Huang, Cheng-Hung, Yung-Fong Chen, and Herchang Ay. "An inverse problem in determining the optimal position for piezoelectric fan with experimental verification", International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 2012.

18 < 1% match (Internet from 25-Oct-2013)
<http://138.100.110.67/papers/compit2013.pdf>

19 < 1% match (Internet from 09-Feb-2017)
<http://www.centec.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/en/centec/publications.aspx?publicationid=1710>

20 < 1% match (student papers from 13-Oct-2011)
Submitted to Rochester Institute of Technology on 2011-10-13

21 < 1% match (publications)
Sangmook Shin. "Simulation of free surface flows using the flux-difference splitting scheme on the hybrid Cartesian/immersed boundary method", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 01/30/2012

- 22 < 1% match (publications)
[Rai, Ashok, Anupam Saxena, Nilesh D. Mankame, and Chandra Shekhar Upadhyay. "On Optimal Design of Compliant Mechanisms for Specified Nonlinear Path Using Curved Frame Elements and Genetic Algorithm". Volume 2 30th Annual Mechanisms and Robotics Conference Parts A and B, 2006.](#)
- 23 < 1% match (Internet from 30-Aug-2015)
<http://www.abcm.org.br/anais/conem/2010/PDF/CON10-0681.pdf>
- 24 < 1% match (publications)
[Maiti, Paramita, Puspendu Rakshit, and Sudeshna Banerjea. "Wave motion in an ice covered ocean due to small oscillations of a submerged thin vertical plate", Journal of Marine Science and Application, 2015.](#)
- 25 < 1% match (Internet from 30-Jul-2008)
<http://www.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp/edu/course/cs/pdf/2005computer.pdf>
- 26 < 1% match (Internet from 02-Dec-2016)
<https://www.nap.edu/read/10834/chapter/68>
- 27 < 1% match (Internet from 20-Jul-2016)
<https://iris.unipa.it/handle/10447/30607?mode=full.4>
- 28 < 1% match (publications)
[Zhou, Xin, Yu-lie Wu, Xue-zhong Wu, Yong-meng Zhang, and Yu Zheng. "A novel ring vibrating gyroscope based on side piezo-electrodes", Journal of Central South University, 2016.](#)
- 29 < 1% match (publications)
[Bret Battey. "Bézier Spline Modeling of Pitch-Continuous Melodic Expression and Ornamentation", Computer Music Journal, 12/2004](#)
- 30 < 1% match (publications)
[Yu, Lijun, Shaoying Liu, Fanming Liu, and Hui Wang. "Energy optimization of the fin/rudder roll stabilization system based on the multi-objective genetic algorithm \(MOGA\)", Journal of Marine Science and Application, 2015.](#)
- 31 < 1% match (publications)
[Cirello, A.. "A numerical approach to the keel design of a sailing yacht", Ocean Engineering, 200810](#)
- 32 < 1% match (publications)
[Rahaman, Md. Mashiur, H. Akimoto, H. Orihara, N.M.G. Zakaria, and S. Shabnam. "Prospects of virtual or computational towing tank facility for the shipbuilding industry of Bangladesh", Journal of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, 2014.](#)

paper text:

4J. Marine Sci. Appl. (2015) 14: DOI: 10.1007/s11804-015- 1324-8 Development of

Cubic Bezier Curve and Curve-Plane Intersection Method for Parametric Submarine Hull Form Design to Optimize Hull Resistance Using CFD Deddy Chrismianto^{1*}, Ahmad Fauzan Zakki¹, Berlian Arswendo¹ and Dong Joon Kim²

321. Department of Naval Architecture, Diponegoro University,

Semarang 50275, Indonesia 2.

21Department of Naval Architecture and Systems Marine Engineering, Pukyong National University, Busan 48513, South Korea

Abstract: Optimization analysis and computational fluid dynamics (CFDs) have been applied simultaneously, in which a parametric model plays an important role in finding the optimal solution. However, it is difficult to create a parametric model for a complex shape with irregular curves, such as a submarine hull form. In this study, the cubic Bezier curve and curve-plane intersection method are used to generate a solid model of a parametric submarine hull form taking three input parameters into account: nose radius, tail radius, and length-height hull ratio (L/H). Application program interface (API) scripting is also used to write code in the ANSYS DesignModeler. The results show that the submarine shape can be generated with some variation of the input parameters. An example is given that shows how the proposed method can be applied successfully to a hull resistance optimization case. The parametric design of the middle submarine type was chosen to be modified. First, the original submarine model was analyzed, in advance, using CFD. Then, using the response surface graph, some candidate optimal designs with a minimum hull resistance coefficient were obtained. Further, the optimization method in goal-driven optimization (GDO) was implemented to find the submarine hull form with the minimum hull resistance coefficient (C_t). The minimum C_t was obtained. The calculated difference in C_t values between the initial submarine and the optimum submarine is around 0.26%, with the C_t of the initial submarine and the optimum submarine being 0.001 508 26 and 0.001 504 29, respectively. The results show that the optimum submarine hull form shows a higher nose radius (r_n) and higher L/H than those of the initial submarine shape, while the radius of the tail (r_t) is smaller than that of the initial shape. **Keywords:** submarine hull form; parametric design; cubic Bezier curve; curve-plane intersection method; hull resistance coefficient; parametric design; goal-driven optimization (GDO); computational fluid dynamic (CFD); ANSYS

24Article ID: 1671-9433(2015)04-0000-00 1 1 Introduction Recently, **the**

relationship between parametric design and

6Received date: 2014- 12-10. Accepted date: 2015-04-08. Foundation item: Supported by the Ministry of Research, Technology,

and Higher Education Republic of Indonesia,

13 **through the Budget Implementation List (DIPA) of Diponegoro University,**
Grant No. **DIPA-023.04.02.189185/2014, December 05, 2013.**

4* **Corresponding author Email: deddychrismianto @yahoo. co.id © Harbin**
Engineering University and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

ship performance analysis using CFD has become a topic of interest, specifically, the method of obtaining an optimum ship hull form that will ensure good hydrodynamic performance of a ship. Several methods of parametric design have been used in ship modeling. The use of the

10 **control points of the cubic B -spline to generate the parameters of**

ship hull design has been introduced with successful results (Sarioz, 2006; Mancuso, 2006; Perez et al., 2007; Ping et al., 2008, Perez and Clemente, 2011). Campana et al. (2006) used the Bezier polynomial patches method, in which the shape modification was controlled by a given number of

26 **control points that were used as the design variables/**

input parameters for finding the optimum shape in the optimization process. Chen and Huang (2004) used a technique for parameter estimation using the B-spline surface fitting method in

12 **an inverse design problem of finding the optimal hull form.**

In addition, Kang and Lee (2010) implemented the parametric morphing technique to rapidly generate a hull form with some variations of the input parameters. Furthermore, Rodriguez and Jambrina (2012) developed a programmed design based on a programming language as a tool for parametric hull form generation. In general, these methods can be used to create the parametric design for surface modeling only. However, some CFD software (especially the RANSE solver) needs a solid modeling at the meshing stage before the CFD analysis stage can begin. Pecot et al. (2012) introduced the parametric design of ship hull shape for solid modeling in the optimization calculation using CFD. In this design, CAD is used to generate some bulbous bow shapes based on the parameters for each design of experiment (DOE). Blanchard et al. (2013) used the movement of control points to create bulbous bow shapes in solid modeling according to two parameters: bow length and bow thickness. However, neither of these authors considered how to automatically generate the hull or bulbous bow shape. In this study, some previous studies concerning ship performance analysis using CFD, especially for the free surface case, are used as a reference for the calculation of ship resistance. Seo et al. (2010) investigated flexible meshing techniques (i.e., a hybrid meshing for complex geometry regions and a sliding mesh for the rotating propeller); the meshing was employed to perform the three primary tests for ship resistance and propulsion performance. Unstructured meshing was used for the bow and stern regions with structured meshing for the remaining region. Non-conformal interfaces were placed between the sub-domains with different cell types. Wood et al. (2007) presented the results of the computations performed in the ETSIN for different ships with the RANSE free-surface commercial solver CFX. Some of the computational results

were validated against experimental data in terms of various global and local quantities; the turbulence model used in the calculations was the shear stress transport (SST) model, and the volume of fluid method was used to model the free-surface flow. For the optimization analysis, Kim and Yang (2010) studied the development of an efficient and effective hull surface modification technique for CFD-based hull form optimization using two methods: the radial basis function interpolation and the sectional area curve of the hull. The hull surface modification technique developed in this study is used to vary the hull forms during the optimization process, during which the objective functions associated with the resistance are evaluated by a practical design-oriented CFD tool (SSF) and a multi-objective genetic algorithm. Diez et al. (2010); Grigoropoulos and Chalkias (2010) developed a multidisciplinary robust design optimization (MRDO) procedure. The aim was to analyze the combined effects of considering several disciplines and uncertainty in ship design problems. Here, an MRDO problem was defined and solved, using a probabilistic sailing scenario, in terms of cruise speed, heel and yaw angles. In this study, robust design optimization is conducted using goal driven optimization (GDO) in the ANSYS environment, by choosing a

30 multi-objective genetic algorithm (MOGA) to

obtain the optimum bulbous bow shape. The CAD-integrated CFD and optimization method can be used simultaneously to solve many problems, including the optimization of hull shapes. Chrismianto (2013); Chrismianto and Kim (2014) developed the parametric bulbous bow design, constructed using the cubic Bezier curve and the curve-plane intersection methods. It can be used to automatically generate a parametric bulbous bow shape using solid modeling for the optimization calculation in an effort to minimize the ship's resistance. The main objective of this study is to develop a submarine optimization design to obtain the best performance of total resistance using the cubic Bezier curve method and the curve-plane intersection method. Both methods are created using the API script programming code as a user defined function (UDF) in ANSYS Workbench.

2 Parameters in submarine design The size and shape of the hull of a submarine plays an important role in determining its hydrodynamic performance. The ratio of length (L) to height (H) is an important variable in determining the hydrodynamic performance, particularly in relation to the total resistance of the hull when submerged in water. Karim et al. (2008) investigated the significant influence of various length-height ratios (L/H) of the submarine hull DREA on viscous drag. In which the resistance that is reduced at the nose area of submarine so the submarine can be said to have a not noisy criteria (very quiet) allowing it to move undetected by radar, while the shape of the tail affects the shape of a wake at the back of the submarine. To get the best hydrodynamic performance, in particular, minimum viscous drag and maximum nominal wake fraction, Suman et al. (2010) considered diameter of the nose (d_n) and tail (d_t) as model hull geometric parameters while finding the optimum design of autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs). And Parsons et al. (1974) developed a method for designing minimum drag hull shapes of axisymmetric submarine bodies. Nose radius (r_n), diameter of middle body (D), and tail radius are considered and modified to generate axisymmetric bodies. Referring to previous research by Karim et al. (2008) and Sunan et al. (2010), the variation of a submarine hull form can be defined by three input parameters to create a parametric submarine (Fig. 1). These are as follows: 1) L/H. 2) The radius of submarine nose (r_n). 3) The radius of submarine tail (r_t). In 3D solid modeling software such as the ANSYS design modeler, a solid model is generated from planes that contain one or more curves. Thus, in this study, there are some constraints that need to be considered in advance: a solid model is built using the lofting command, and the parameters of submarine modification are driven by curves in the vertical and transverse planes of the submarine hull form. Fig. 1 Submarine hull form parameters

3 Numerical overview The cubic Bezier curve is generally reliable for representing forms in most engineering applications. It has different properties such as tangents, normals, and curves, which are easily computed, and they ensure the continuity of a composite curve up to the second order (Choi, 1991; Lu and Huang, 1998; Piegler and Tiller, 1997; Saxena and Sahay, 2005). The Bernstein polynomials

are applied to generate a Bezier curve. They are also referred to as Bezier basis functions and are used in defining a degree- n Bezier curve by blending $n+1$ control vertices; they can be defined by

$$B_i^n(u) = \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} u^i (1-u)^{n-i}$$

(1) Based on the Bernstein polynomials at third degree, the cubic Bezier curve can be defined as follows: $r(u) = B_{03}(u)V_0 + B_{13}(u)V_1 + B_{23}(u)V_2 + B_{33}(u)V_3$ (2) where $B_{03}(u) = (1-u)^3$, $B_{13}(u) = 3u(1-u)^2$, $B_{23}(u) = 3u^2(1-u)$, $B_{33}(u) = u^3$

$$B_{23}(u) = 3u^2(1-u)$$

), $B_{33}(u) = u^3$ Here, the control points (V_0, V_1, V_2 , and V_3) can be explained as follows: V_0 : start point of the curve segment, P_0 . V_1 : one-third point on starting tangent vector, $(V_0 + t_0/3)$. V_2 : two-third point on ending tangent vector, $(V_3 - t_1/3)$. V_3 : end point of the curve segment, P_1 . These definitions can be also written as follows:

$$V_0 = P_0, V_1 = (V_0 + t_0/3), V_2 = (V_3 - t_1/3), V_3 = P_1$$

(3a) (3b) Thus, it can be seen that there is the following relationship between the end points and end tangents (P_0, P_1, t_0 , and t_1) to the control points (V_0, V_1, V_2 , and V_3):

$$P_0 = V_0, P_1 = V_3, t_0 = 3(V_1 - V_0), t_1 = 3(V_3 - V_2)$$

(4a) (4b) In solid 3D software, a solid model is created from 2D curves. These curves must be sketched in some planes (vertical or transverse) before a solid body is generated using the loft, extrude, or sweep method. Here, the curve-plane intersection method is implemented to determine a common point that can be captured in two or more different planes. The general form of the equation of a plane is expressed as follows: $ax + by + cz - d = 0$ or $r \cdot p = d$ where $r = (x, y, z)$: coordinate variables, $p = (a, b, c)$: unit normal vector of the plane π , and $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$. Thus, the intersection between a plane and a parametric curve is given by $0 = r(t) \cdot p - d$, $0 = ax(t) + by(t) + cz(t) - d$ (5b) and the procedure of curve-plane intersection can be explained as follows: 1) Input: t_0 (initial point), p (unit normal of π), d (distance of π). 2) Determine an estimated intersection point \hat{t} . 3) If $r(\hat{t}) \cdot p - d \leq \epsilon$, return \hat{t} . 4) Else, $t_0 = \hat{t}$ and go to 2). The initial estimation point t_0 can be found using the Lattice evaluation method, which is as follows: If, $[p \cdot r(t_i) - d] \cdot [p \cdot r(t_{i+1}) - d] < 0$ (6) then t_i or t_{i+1} becomes the initial estimation point. However, the estimated intersection point \hat{t} is obtained as follows: $\hat{t} = t_0 - \frac{(p \cdot r(t_0) - d)}{r'(t_0) \cdot p}$ (7a) where $r' = \frac{dr}{dt}$ (7b) $dt = t_0$ In addition, p and d are coefficients of plane equation. 4 Application of cubic Bezier curve and curve-plane intersection methods to parametric submarine design The parametric design of a solid model for submarines is proposed on the basis of four successive steps as follows: 1) Capturing curves from the existing submarine model. 2) Duplicating curves using the cubic Bezier curve. 3) Finding the intersection of the curve-plane. 4) Automatic parametric generation of the submarine model. 4.1 Capturing curves from the existing submarine model From the existing submarine model, half the model can be cut and the other half can be hidden while the curves of the submarine shape are captured. To create these curves, two types of planes must be taken into account: vertical and transverse. In each plane, a 2D curve was captured. In this case (as shown in Fig. 2), the planes were divided and captured to include one curve in the vertical plane and 12 curves in the transverse plane. The modeling procedure described in Fig. 2 was conducted using the ANSYS design modeler. A solid model of the submarine was modified and

manipulated to obtain a parametric submarine model. It is applied in the CFD optimization calculation for the minimum total resistance. Fig. 2 Capturing curves from the solid submarine model

4.2 Duplicating curves using the cubic Bezier curve

The cubic Bezier curve was applied to duplicate the existing curves. These curves were developed using API script to obtain identical curves with the same tangentials in both directions. A magnitude vector was fitted at the meeting point of the two curves. The procedure of duplicating curves can be explained as follows: 1) Determine the number of curves that will be created using the cubic Bezier curve. 2) Determine the location of internal control points (V1, V2) in each curve referring to a given end tangent. 3) Build a new curve using API scripting in the ANSYS design modeler and compare the new and existing curves.

4.2.1 Determining the number of curves that will be created using the cubic Bezier curve

Usually, a parametric model is generated and controlled automatically by defined parameters. In this study, the defined parameters of a submarine hull form are created using the cubic Bezier curve method. This method was used to obtain a smooth shape in the solid model for a requirement of CFD and optimization analysis in the ANSYS workbench environment. In this case, three parameters of the submarine design were implemented: L/H, rn, and rt. To realize this design, as shown in Fig. 3, there were 10 curves in the vertical plane view, separated by six dynamic points. A1 and A2 are the dynamic points that denote the radius of the submarine nose. A3 and A4 are the dynamic points that denote the maximum height of the submarine. A9 and A10 are the dynamic points that denote the radius of the submarine tail. All of them move up or down in the vertical plane. This affects the overall convexity of the submarine. Furthermore, to build a submarine model using the lofting method in the ANSYS design modeler, some transverse planes were required. These planes contain one curve or one point in each plane. Fig. 3 Determining the number of curves, points, and angle of the end tangent for end points at every curve in the vertical plane

4.2.2 Determining the location of internal control points (V1, V2) in each curve referring to a given end tangential

The cubic Bezier curve uses the four control points (V0, V1, V2, and V3) to draw a curve; the two endpoints that are identified have been referred to as V0 and V3. The location of the internal control points (V1, and V2) can be approximated on the basis of the end tangent value in which every end tangent has a magnitude and direction. The relationship of the internal control points (V1, V2) and the end tangent (t0 and t1) shown in Eq. (4b) can be written as follows: $V1 = t0^3 + V0$, $V2 = V3 - t2^3$ (8) To assign a magnitude and a direction to each end tangent, V1 and V2 are applied in the x-axis and y-axis, respectively; therefore, Eq. (8) can be derived as shown below: 1) V1 in the x-axis and y-axis: $V1x = L1 \cos A1 + V0$, $V1y = L1 \sin A1 + V0$ 3 3 (9) 2) V2 in the x-axis and y-axis: $V2x = V3 - L2 \cos A2$, $V2y = V3 - L2 \sin A2$ 3 3 (10) where L1 and L2 represent the magnitude of end tangents (t0, and t1), and A1 and A2 represent the angles of end tangents (t0 and t1). Furthermore, all the existing curves (in the vertical and transverse planes) can be estimated for each location of the internal control points (V1, V2) by analyzing the angle found using the dimensional command in the ANSYS design modeler. To maintain the continuity condition on two connected curves, their relationship has to satisfy the prerequisite of continuity. In other words, it can be said that the control point V3 in curve 1 is equal to the control point V0 in curve 2, such that the tangent t1 in curve 1 is equal to the tangent t0 in curve 2 and so forth for the other curves.

4.2.3 Building a new curve using API script and comparing the new and existing curves

Once all the control points of each curve in each plane have been defined, new curves can be generated by duplicating all the control points of the existing curves using API. Here, all new curves were developed by applying the cubic Bezier curve, as defined in Eq. (2). The result of the above-mentioned algorithm demonstrates that each curve in the vertical plane has been duplicated properly and smoothly. To achieve a reliable duplication of the new curve from the existing curve, the magnitude of the end tangent vector (L1, L2)

28 plays an important role in defining the location of the

internal control points (V1 and V2). If the magnitude is chosen appropriately, it will produce a reliable duplication of the new curve, although small deviation still exists. This is shown in Fig. 4, in which the new curves (designated by points) have curve forms that are very close to the existing curves. Fig. 4 Comparing new curves and existing curves (new curves as points; existing curves as lines) 4.3 Finding the intersection of the curve-plane A surface or solid modeling of a submarine can be generated from curves using the lofting method in the ANSYS design modeler software. To generate this solid model, curve-plane intersection (in Eqs. (5b)–(7b)) was implemented to determine the intersection points, which were then captured by every transverse plane. To obtain a curve with the same shape as the existing curve, all the end tangent vectors (both magnitude and direction) that have been defined in the duplicating curves section must be applied again to determine the internal control points (V1 and V2). Finally, the cubic Bezier curve can be generated. 4.4 Automatic parametric generation of the submarine model This procedure is essential along with those mentioned above. In this step, the parameters of the submarine solid model were generated on the basis of three input parameters. It was expected that the submarine shape would vary following a change in one or more input parameters. Changes to the submarine model should maintain the smoothness of the submarine shape. The sequence of the automation stage of the submarine parametric design was initiated from the ratio of the length to maximum height, nose radius, and tail radius. In this case, a solid submarine model was constructed from transverse planes using the lofting command. It was difficult to solve this problem without simplifying the building of the solid model when some input parameters were changed. For simplicity, in the automation of the end tangent vector, the magnitude and direction at all the dynamic points that could be moved were assumed to be the same as those at the initial submarine curves. Fig. 5 shows the result of the parametric submarine design in solid modeling. This result has been automatically generated using three input parameters. Fig. 5 Example of parametric submarine design using three variations of input parameters 5 Example of submarine design optimization to minimize total resistance An example of the application is presented here to show that the proposed method can be applied easily and efficiently to a submarine. The purpose of this example is to determine the optimum submarine hull form with a minimum total resistance. The optimization calculation can be performed using GDO in the ANSYS environment, where MOGA is chosen to give the optimum submarine shape. Five sequential steps must be completed for optimization analysis: defining input parameters and output parameters, defining the design space for each of the input parameters (such as the minimum and maximum values), creating DOE, creating a response surface for each output parameter, and applying optimization calculations and setting the objective function. Input parameters include L/H, rn, and rt. The coefficient of total resistance (C_t) is defined as the output parameter. The design space of the input parameters was defined at about $\pm 10\%$, as shown in Table 1. The number of DOE was defined by referring to the number of input parameters (N). If there are three input parameters, the DOE number is equal to 14 design points (Table 2). The response surfaces

15 **show the variation of each output parameter with respect to input parameters at a given time**

(Fig. 6). Fig. 6 shows the response surfaces that represent the relationship between the coefficient of total resistance as an output parameter with all the input parameters for each DOE type. The response surface graph shows some candidate optimal designs with a minimum C_t value marked in blue. Further, the optimization calculation must be analyzed to obtain the optimal design from some candidates. Finally, the minimum C_t value was obtained. The calculated difference in C_t values between the initial submarine and optimum submarine is around 0.26%, with the C_t of the initial submarine and the optimum submarine being 0.001 508 26 and 0.001 504 29, respectively. This result can be also explained by the comparison of forces around the submarine (Fig. 7). This shows that the force around the hull of the optimum submarine is smaller than that around the initial one (the red area around the submarine hull form on the

optimum submarine decreases). The comparison of the parameters of the optimum shape and the initial shape

is shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 8 shows that the

optimum submarine hull form has a higher r_n and higher L/H than those of the initial submarine shape, while r_t is smaller than that of the initial shape. Table 1 The design space of input parameters

Parameter	Initial	Minimum	Maximum
Nose ratio r_n	0.162	0.146	0.178
Tail ratio r_t	0.019	0.017	0.021
Ratio L/H	6.790	6.111	7.469

Table 2 Calculating the design of experiment (DOE)

No.	Nose ratio r_n	Tail ratio r_t	Ratio L/H
1	0.162	0.019	6.790
2	0.146	0.017	6.790
3	0.178	0.019	6.790
4	0.162	0.017	6.790
5	0.162	0.021	6.790
6	0.162	0.019	6.111
7	0.162	0.019	7.469
8	0.149	0.017	6.790
9	0.175	0.017	6.790
10	0.149	0.020	6.790
11	0.175	0.020	6.790
12	0.149	0.017	7.469
13	0.175	0.017	7.469
14	0.149	0.020	7.469
15	0.175	0.020	7.469

(a) Response chart 1 (b) Response chart 2 Fig. 6 Response surface between input parameters and output parameter Fig. 7 Force around the hull: initial (a) and optimum (b) Fig. 8 The comparison of parameters of the submarine shapes

6 Conclusions

In this study, a parametric submarine design was performed with three input parameters: L/H, r_n , and r_t . In addition, four successive steps must be followed to obtain the desired result: capturing curves from an existing submarine model, duplicating the curves using the cubic Bezier curve, finding the curve-plane intersection, and creating an automatic parametric generation of the submarine. Particularly, after duplicating the curves using the cubic Bezier curves, all the end tangents of the curves (both magnitude and direction) must be defined correctly following the initial submarine shape to maintain the requirement of continuous condition C1. It was expected that the submarine obtained would have a smooth shape, similar to the initial submarine. An example of the application of the ship resistance minimization using CFD has been presented to show that the proposed method can be successfully applied. A submarine hull form model was chosen for modification. Before the optimization step was processed, this model was analyzed in advance using CFD. Following this, the optimization method using GDO in the ANSYS environment was implemented to determine the optimum submarine hull form. The results show that the optimum submarine hull form has a higher r_n and higher L/H ratio than those of the initial submarine shape, while r_t is smaller than that of the initial shape.

References
Blanchard L, Berrini E, Duvigneau R, Roux Y, Mourrain B, Jean E (2013). Bulbous bow shape optimization.

19V International Conference on Computational Methods in Marine Engineering (MARINE 2013), Hamburg, Germany,

1-12. Campana EF,

1 Peri D, Tahara Y, Stern F (2006). Shape optimization in ship hydrodynamics using computational fluid dynamics. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 196(1), 634-651.

DOI: 10.1016/j.cma.2006.06.003 Chen PF, Huang CH (2004).

17 **An inverse hull design approach in minimizing the ship wave. Ocean Engineering, 31(13), 1683-1712.**

12 **DOI: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2003.08.010**

Choi BK (1991). Surface modeling for CAD/CAM. Elsevier, Seoul, Korea. Chrismianto D (2013). Parametric bulbous bow design for the minimization of ship resistance by using CFD. PhD thesis, Pukyong National University, Busan, Korea, 7-10. Chrismianto D, Kim DJ (2014). Parametric bulbous bow design using the cubic Bezier curve and curve-plane intersection method for the minimization of ship resistance in CFD.

6 **Journal of Marine Science and Technology, 19 (4), 479-492. DOI: 10.1007_**

s00773-014-0278-x Diez M, Peri D, Fasano G, Campana EF (2010). Multidisciplinary robust optimization for ship design. 28th Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamic, Pasadena, USA. Grigoropoulos GJ, Chalkias DS (2010). Hull-form optimization in calm and rough water. Computer-Aided Design, 42(11), 977-984. DOI 10.1016/j.cad.2009.11.004 Kang JY, Lee BS (2010).

11 **Mesh-based morphing method for rapid hull form generation. Computer-Aided Design, 42(11), 970-976.**

DOI: 10.1016/j.cad.2009.11.004

14 **Karim MM, Rahman MM, Alim MA (2008). Numerical computation of viscous drag for axisymmetric underwater vehicles. Jurnal Mekanikal,**

26, 9-21. Kim H, Yang C (2010). A new surface modification approach for CFD-based hull form optimization. International Conference on Hydrodynamics, Shanghai, China. Lu WC, Huang JM

16 **(1998). Modification of a NURBS curve with nose features. Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, 11(**

4), 253-265. DOI: 10.1016/S0951-5240(98)00023-8 Mancuso A

20 **(2006). Parametric design of sailing hull shapes. Ocean Engineering, 33(2), 234-246.**

27 **DOI: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2005.03.007**

Parsons JS, Goodson RE, Goldschmied FR

7(1974). Shaping of axisymmetric bodies for minimum drag in incompressible flow. Journal of Hydronautics, 8(3), 100-107. DOI: 10.2514/3.48131

Pecot F, Yvin C, Buiatti R, Maisonneuve JJ (2012). Shape optimization of a monohull fishing vessel.

1012th International Conference on Computer and IT Application in the Maritime Industries,

Liege, Belgium, 7-18.

9Perez F, Clemente JA (2011). Constrained design of simple ship hulls with B-spline surfaces. Computer-Aided Design, 43(12),

1829-1840. DOI: 10.1016/j.cad.2011.07.008 Perez F, Suarez JA, Clemente JA, Souto A (2007). Geometric modelling of bulbous bows with the use of

31non-uniform rational B-spline surfaces.

8Journal of Marine Science and Technology, 12(2), 83-94. DOI: 10.1007/

s00773-006-0225-6

23Piegl L, Tiller W (1997). The NURBS book. Springer, Berlin, Germany.

Ping Z, Xiang ZD, Hao LW (2008). Parametric approach to design of hull forms. Journal of Hydrodynamics, 20(6), 804-810. DOI: 10.1016/S1001-6058(09)60019-6

18Rodriguez A, Jambrina LF (2012). Programmed design of ship forms. Computer-Aided Design, 44(

7), 687-696. DOI: 10.1016/j.cad.2012.03.003 Sarioz E (2006). An optimization approach for fairing of ship hull forms. Ocean Engineering, 33(16), 2105-2118. DOI: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2005.11.014

22Saxena A, Sahay B (2005). Computer aided engineering design. Anamaya Publisher, New Delhi,

India. Seo JW, Seol DM, Lee JH, Rhee SH

3(2010). Flexible CFD meshing strategy for prediction of ship resistance and propulsion performance. International Journal of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering, 2(3), 139-145.

DOI: 10.3744/JNAOE.2010.2.3.139 Suman KNS, Rao DN, Das HN, Kiran GB (2010). Hydrodynamic performance evaluation of an ellipsoidal nose for high speed under water vehicle. Jordan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, 4(5), 641-652. Wood MP, Gonzalez LM, Izquierdo J, Sarasquete A, Rojas LP (2007). RANSE with free surface computations around fixed DTMB 5415 model and other Baliño's fishing vessels. The 9th International Conference on Numerical Ship Hydrodynamics, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 1-13. 2 Deddy Chrismianto, et al. Development of Cubic Bezier Curve and Curve-Plane Intersection Method for Parametric Submarine Hull Form Design

2Journal of Marine Science and Application (2015) 14: 3

4 Deddy Chrismianto, et al. Development of Cubic Bezier Curve and Curve-Plane Intersection Method for Parametric Submarine Hull Form Design

2Journal of Marine Science and Application (2015) 14: 5

6 Deddy Chrismianto, et al. Development of Cubic Bezier Curve and Curve-Plane Intersection Method for Parametric Submarine Hull Form Design

2Journal of Marine Science and Application (2015) 14: 7