

Eluent Influence on Synthesis of Fe(III)-Imprinted Polyeugenol using Polyethylene Glycol Diglycidylether (PEGDE) as Cross Linking Agent and Its Application as Fe(III) Sorbent

by Muhammad Cholid Djunaidi

Submission date: 23-May-2020 08:24PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1330475899

File name: Eluent_Influence_on_Synthesis_of_Fe_III.pdf (179.78K)

Word count: 3155

Character count: 14590



Eluent Influences on Synthesis of Fe(III)-imprinted Poly Eugenol using Polyethylene Glycol Diglycidylether (PEGDE) as Cross-Linking Agent and its Application as Fe(III) Sorbent

MUHAMMAD CHOLID DJUNAIDI^{1,2*}, DWI SISWANTA² and JUMINA²

¹Departement of Chemistry, Science and Mathematics Faculty, Diponegoro University of Indonesia.

²Departement of Chemistry, Science and Mathematics Faculty Gadjah Mada University of Indonesia.

*Corresponce author E-mail: abi_fifa@yahoo.com

<http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/ojc/310447>

(Received: July 01, 2015; Accepted: October 05, 2015)

ABSTRACT

A research on the effect of eluent to remove template and soaking time on the performance of imprinted ionic polymer (IIP) Fe has been carried out. The eluents were HCl, HNO₃, and EDTA. The best eluent was used to determine the adsorption selectivity of IIP to Fe (III) compared to Cd (II), Cr (III) and Pb (II). The results showed that the more successful of template removal the better performance of IIP Fe. Removal of Fe(III) was optimum using HNO₃ 1M as eluent for 24 hours. Selectivity of IIP towards Cd(II) is better than Cr (III) nor to Pb (II).

Key words: IIP Fe, acid/eluent removal, selectivity.

INTRODUCTION

Iron is an essential mineral for many application. However, its presence in drinking water cause problems, such as discoloration, giving metallic taste, odor, turbidity and give stains on laundry and plumbing. Iron oxides formed in the tank (reservoir) from oxidation of dissolved iron aeral, also evokes the growth of microorganisms in the water so that WHO limit 0.3 ppm of Iron in drinking water¹.

Conventional methods for separating iron from the solution of which hydroxide precipitation,

filtration, electrocoagulation, and ion exchange techniques. Adsorption is one of the physicochemical processes that occur at the interface of solid-phase and solid-gas, has become an effective and economical method with great potential for separation, recovery and recycling of heavy metals from waste water¹.

One of the recently developed techniques for the preparation of highly effective adsorbent is the ionic-selective imprinting technique, where the specific recogniton capability is provided to the host molecules by addition and subsequent extraction of template molecules/ions. Recently, the heavy

metal ions imprinting adsorbent, for its excellent selective separation performance for trace heavy metals, has become more concerned^{2,3}.

Adsorption of Fe(III) on the printed surface has been reported previously. Chang *et al.* (2007)[4] make printed sorbent Fe (III) silica gel functionalized with amino surface mold method. Owens *et al.* (2005) [5] make cation exchange resin mold Fe (III) for the selective adsorption of Fe (III). Capacity of Fe (III) to the resin with a small cross-linker agent was 0.0414 mg/g, whereas if a large cross-linker agent capacity doubled to 0.086 mg/g, but selectivity will be dropped⁶. Fan *et al.* (2012) made a silica gel sorbent functionalised with thiocyanate for selective adsorption of Fe (III) as imprinted. The results increase the adsorption capacity of 9.58 mg/g (NIP) to 20.1 mg/g (IIP)¹.

One interesting study is the use of biomaterials as adsorbent for heavy metal waste [6,7]. Biomaterials are very important because it is cheap, biodegradable and also biocompatible. They can be prepared from a number of different agricultural waste⁸, corn husk, bagase, rice husk, lignin, microbial biomass, chitosan^{9,10}, husk of green gram (*phaseolusaureus*) seed¹¹ and eugenol.

Eugenol is one of native Indonesian natural products and has many functions, including for the separation of metal ions. Some examples are the conversion of eugenol into polyeugeniloxycetate was used for the separation of heavy metal mixtures by solvent extraction method¹²; and its conversion into eugenoxycetate for the separation of Cr(III) using bulk liquid membrane (BLM) method¹³. Eugenol polymer, polyeugenol, has been used as a BLM carrier with the order of Cr(III)>>Fe(III)>Ni(II)>Zn>Cd (hardmedium>soft)¹⁴. In the present study, eugenol polymer, polyeugenol, is used as functional polymers to prepare Fe(III) imprinted adsorbents.

Eluents have important function to release template in order to create a specific hole cavity in IIP. The more specific cavity, the more specific adsorption occurs/selective. The objective of this works was investigate influence of eluents to removal of template Fe(III) to explore the potential of ionic imprinted Fe(III) for adsorption Fe(III).

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Eugenol, $\text{BF}_3\text{O}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_2$ and PEGDE (Polyethyleneglycoldiglycidyl ether) purchased from SIGMA-Aldrich, while other reagents were purchased from E Merck, Germany: anhydrate Na_2SO_4 , fuming HNO_3 , NaOH , HCl 37%, Na_2EDTA , $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ standard solution (1000 mg/L), $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ standard solution (1000 mg/L), $\text{Cr}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ standard solution (1000 mg/L). Methanol, chloroform, and demineralized water purchased from Bratachem.

Instrumentations

The instruments used in this study were Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer), FTIR Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 8201PC), XRD (Shimadzu XRD-8000), SEM EDX (JSM 6380 LA), and analytical balance (Mettler Tolendo AB54-S).

Procedure

Synthesis of Polymers

Polyeugenol

Eugenol (5.8 g) was put in a 3-neck flask, then 0.25 L of boron trifluoride diethyl ether, $\text{BF}_3\text{O}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_2$ was added as catalyst. The addition was done 4 times every hour while stirring with magnetic stirrer at room temperature. The occurrence of a reaction can be characterized by the color change of the solution into red. After the last addition of the catalyst, the polymerization was allowed to continue up to 12-16 hours, after which 1 mL of methanol was added to stop the reaction. The gel produced was dissolved in chloroform and put into a separating funnel and then washed repeatedly with distilled water until neutral. The organic layer was transferred into a 50 mL erlenmeyer flask and added with anhydrous Na_2SO_4 . The liquid was separated by decantation. Afterwards, the solvent was evaporated in rotary evaporator at 40 °C. The residue obtained was further dried in the desiccator, and was subsequently weighed and characterized using NMR and GPC.

Synthesis of IIP-Fe(III)

Polyeugenol (0.5 g) was stirred with Fe(III) solution with different concentrations for 24 hours.

The product was filtered with a filter paper and subsequently air dried at room temperature. Poly Eugenol-Fe(III) produced from this process (0.3 g) was then crosslinked using PEGDE as the crosslinker produce polymer aggregate with a mole ratio of 1:1 by heating for 15 minutes at 80-90°C with 20 mL 1M NaOH as catalyst. The product was then neutralized and dried at 115°C in an oven for 6 hours. The resin produced was further treated with acid for 24 hours to release the Fe(III) ions and form the final product of IIP-Fe(III) adsorbent.

Synthesis NIP-HNO₃

The procedure for preparing NIP-HNO₃ was similar to that used to prepare NIP, however, at the end of the procedure, the polymer was soaked in 1 M HNO₃.

Adsorption experiment

The adsorption studies were carried out using batch method at the desired pH, which was by adding diluted NaOH and HNO₃. Adsorbent (50 mg) was contacted with 10 mL of metal ion solution for 24 hours at a constant speed. The mixture was filtered with a fine filter paper and the concentration of metal ion in the filtrate were analyzed using Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS).

Eluent Influence Test

The performance of IIP for the adsorption of Fe(III) was optimized for eluent variables: type, concentration and time soaking of eluents. The eluents used for the experiments were HNO₃ (at different concentration), HCl and HCl-EDTA. Finally, the removal of Fe(III) was optimized at different soaking time of 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 hours using 1 M HNO₃.

Adsorption selectivity test was conducted using binary mixture solutions containing Fe(III)/Cd(II), Fe(III)/Cr (III), Fe(III)/Pb(II). This study was carried out for both IIP and NIP-HNO₃.

The study of adsorption selectivity was carried out by adding 0.05 g of adsorbent into each of 10 mL binary-solutions containing Fe(III)/Cd(II), Fe(III)/Cr(III), Fe(III)/Pb(II) using concentration of 50 mg/L for Fe(III) whereas competitive ion were made by comparison concentration of 1:1;1:0.5; 1:0.25 towards concentration of Fe(III) ion. The adsorption

experiments were carried out with batch system using a magnetic stirrer at pH 3.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis IIP Fe

The synthesis of IIP-Fe comprises of four stages, starting with the polymerization of eugenol. Eugenol was polymerised using BF₃ diethyl ether as catalyst, and resulted polyeugenol was characterized by NMR. In Figure 1, it can be seen that the chemical shift at 4.75 to 5.2 ppm appears in the eugenol spectra as a doublet, which indicates the presence of =CH₂ groups; this chemical shift is not visible in polyeugenol spectra. Instead, new spectrum appears at 2.4-3.0 ppm of the polyeugenol spectra, indicating the presence of -CH- groups in the polymer. Another new signal which appears at the chemical shift of 0.7-1.5 ppm is the characteristics of 3 hydrogen atoms of methyl

Table 1: The difference of % Fe being removed during IIP synthesis and % Fe adsorbed by IIP-Fe(III) using 22 mesh particle size, 0,05 g adsorbent, template ion concentration of 100 mg/L, and 50 mg/L Fe(III) in the adsorption solution

Eluent	% Fe removed	% Fe adsorbed
HNO ₃ 0.1 M	44.59	56.29
HNO ₃ 0.5 M	48.43	76.43
HNO ₃ 1 M	53.45	95.95
HCl 1 M	50.06	85.07
Na ₂ EDTA-HCl	46.23	88.31

Table 2: Comparison of % Fe being removed from the polymer after treated with 1M HNO₃ during IIP synthesis and % Fe(III) being adsorbed by IIP-Fe(III) at 22 mesh

Soaking time (hours)	% Fe removed	% Fe adsorbed
12	64.32	96.36
24	65.09	97.51
36	69.04	97.18
48	70.00	95.39
60	75.31	58.40

Table 3: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Cr(III) 12,5 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Cr)
	Kd Fe	Kd Cr	
IIP	1,359	0,229	5,946
NIP HNO ₃	0,175	0,271	0,645

Table 4: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Cd(II) 12,5 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Cd)
	Kd Fe	Kd Cd	
IIP	95159,8	0,09	1053295
NIP HNO ₃	0,075	0,154	0,486

Table 5: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Pb(II) 12,5 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Pb)
	Fe	Pb	
IIP	11,529	4,031	2,86
NIP HNO ₃	1,515	0,429	3,535

Table 6: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Cr(III) 25 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Cr)
	Fe	Cr	
IIP	0,475	0,365	1,3
NIP HNO ₃	0,1	0,128	0,791

Table 7: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Cd(II) 25 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Cd)
	Fe	Cd	
IIP	5,449	0,0003	16401
NIP HNO ₃	2,451	0,042	57,993

Table 8: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Pb(II) 25 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Pb)
	Fe	Pb	
IIP	0,532	0,623	0,853
NIP HNO ₃	0,209	0,101	2,071

Table 9: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Cr(III) 50 mg/L

Adsorbent	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Cr)
	Kd Fe	Kd Cr	
IIP	0,082	0,089	0,918
NIP HNO ₃	0,199	0,121	1,643

Table 10: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L towards Cd(II) 25 mg/L

Resin	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Cd)
	Kd Fe	Kd Cd	
IIP	10,265	0,008	121,268
NIP HNO ₃	1,007	0,025	40,646

Table 11: Selectivity Fe(III) 50 mg/L toward Pb(II) 50 mg/L

Resin	Kd		K (Kd Fe /Kd Pb)
	Kd Fe	Kd Pb	
IIP	0,434	0,31	1,403
NIP HNO ₃	0,727	0,089	8,094

groups (-CH₃) in the polymer backbone. So it can be concluded that eugenol polymerization reaction had occurred.

To determine how many *n* of eugenol molecules exist in the polyeugenol chain, gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis was done (Figure 2), which shows that the average Mr


of polyeugenol is 1876, or about 11 times the monomer (Mr of eugenol is 164).

The polyeugenol was used for the synthesis IIP. This was done by uploading Fe(III) into the polyeugenol chain, followed by crosslinking


using PEGDE and the removal of Fe(III) using HNO₃.

The effects of different eluents for template ion removal

Template ion (Fe(III)) removal were done using different acids as eluent, and it is an important



NMR spectra of polyeugenol



NMR spectra of Eugenol

Fig. 1. NMR spectra of polyeugenol and eugenol

ETA-2020 RI

Mn :	1.8768e3	g/mol
Mw :	3.9537e3	g/mol
Mz :	6.4252e3	g/mol
Mv :	3.5001e3	g/mol
D :	2.1067e0	
[η] :	4.7296e0	ml/g
Vp :	2.2761e1	ml
Mp :	3.4493e3	g/mol
Fl :	1.691e-1	ml ² /V
< 114	0.00	
w% :	100.00	
> 28316	0.00	




Fig. 2: Gel Permeation of Chromatography of polyeugenol




Figure 3. % Fe released and % Fe adsorbed of IIP with particle size of 50 mesh with HNO₃ acid as eluent at different concentration at concentration of template was 100 mg/L, weight adsorbent was 0,05 g.




Fig. 4: IR spectra several resin Poli-Fe-PEGDE after add different acids

parameter controlling the properties of adsorbents for heavy metal ion adsorption, as it relates to the availability of "selective hole" to Fe(III). To determine the type eluent, a series of experiments were carried out under the following conditions: Eluents used were HNO₃, HCl and Na₂EDTA-HCl, pH of the solution was set to 3, the weight of the adsorbent was 50 mg, the concentration of Fe(III) was set at 50 mg/L, and the concentration of Fe(III) solution used as a template is 100 ppm. Table 1 shows that 1 M HNO₃ has the maximum adsorption. This is because the removal of Fe(III) was also maximum thus causing maximum formation selective holes. HNO₃ 1 M had also been used in other previous studies^{4,5}.

The effects of contact time (soaking time) with acid/eluent

The contact time (soaking time) of the removal of Fe³⁺ ions by acid also affects the adsorption efficiency. As can be seen in Table 2, the longer the contact time, the more Fe³⁺ ions were released, although it also reduced the adsorption capacity, probably due to decomposition of selective sites in IIP by acid. The contact time of 24 hours using HNO₃ 1 M was used for subsequent experiments in this study.

As shown in the Fig. 3, the more concentrated acid the better % adsorption obtained up to a concentration of 3 M. At higher concentrations of 6 M % the adsorption is smaller, probably due to the decomposition of the resin has been used. As seen in the IR spectra below (Fig. 4).

As seemed at Fig 4 above that bigger concentration of acid used, there are new spectra at 1400 cm⁻¹ that shows the formation of new functional groups as result of decomposition. Greatest concentration still possible to use is 1 M, according to the concentration of the acid used in the study.

Selectivity

It can be seen from Table 3 until 10 show that the selectivity of IIP towards Fe(III) is better than NIP-HNO₃. In all concentration selectivity of IIP towards Fe(III) compare to Cd(II) is the highest, it is probably due to Cd(II) is soft acid while Fe(III) is hard acid, while the selectivity compare ion Cr decrease when the concentration of Cr (III) increases. Whereas for Pb in all concentrations selectivity is not seen, probably because ion Pb has strong affinity to hydroxyl groups¹⁵ more than ion Fe, these groups was possessed more by NIP - HNO₃. In the lower concentration Djunaidi *et al* (2015) find selectivity IIP on all metals [Cd(II), Cr(III) and Pb(II)]¹⁶.

CONCLUSION

Based on the experimental results, as more template being removed from the polymer aggregate, higher adsorption of IIP to Fe(III) occurs. The removal of Fe(III) from polymer aggregate was optimum using HNO₃ 1M as eluent for 24 hours. IIP has better selectivity towards Cd than Cr (III), but not selective to Pb (II).

REFERENCES

1. Fan, H.T.; Sun, T., *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2012**, *29*, 798-803
2. Chen, J.H.; Li, G.P.; Liu, Q.L.; Ni, J.C.; Wu, W.B.; Lin, J.M., *Chem. Eng. J.*, **2010**, *65*, 465-473
3. Chen, J.H.; Lin, H.; Luo, Z.H.; He, Y.S.; Li, G.P., *Desalination*, **2011**, *277*, 265-273
4. Chang, X.; Jiang, N.; Zheng, H.; He, Q.; Hu, Z.; Zhai, Y.; Chui, Y., *Talanta*, **2007**, *7*, 38-43
5. Owens, G.S.; Southard, G.E.; Houten, K.A.V.; Murray, G.M., *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, **2005**, *40*, 2205 – 2211
6. Sölener, M.; Tunali, S.; Özcan A.S.; Özcan, A.; Gedikbey, T., *Desalination*, **2008**, *223* (1-3), 308-322
7. Guibal, E. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, **2004**, *38* (1), 43–74
8. Porselvi, E.; Krishnamoorthy, P. *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, **2013**, *29* (2), 719-723
9. Copello, G.J.; Varela, F.; Vivot, M.; Diaz, L.E. *Bioresour. Technol.*, **2008**, *99* (14), 6538–6544
10. Miretzky, P.; Cirelli, A.F. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **2009**, *167* (1-3), 10–23

11. Jirekar, D.B.; Pathan, A.A.; Farooqui, M. *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, **2014**, *30* (3), 1263-1269
12. Harimu, L.; Matsjeh, S.; Siswanta, D.; Santoso, S.J. *Indo J. Chem.*, **2009**, *9* (2), 261-266
13. Djunaidi, M.C.; Khabibi; Trisna, D., *JSKA*, **2010**, *13* (2)
14. Djunaidi, M.C.; Lusiana, R.A.; Wibowa, P.J.; Siswanta, D.; Jumina, *J. Alchemy*, **2007**, *6*, 40-49
15. Masykur, A.; Santosa, S.J.; Siswanta, D.; Jumina, *Indones. J. Chem.* **2014**, *14*(2), 152-159.
16. Djunaidi, M.C, Jumina, Siswanta, D., Ulbricht, M., 2015. Synthesis of Fe(III)-Imprinted Poly Eugenol using Polyethylene Glycol Diglycidylether (PEGDE) as Cross-Linking Agent and Its Application as Fe(III) Sorbent. *Indones. J. Chem.* *15* (3).

Eluent Influence on Synthesis of Fe(III)-Imprinted Poly Eugenol using Polyethylene Glycol Diglycidylether (PEGDE) as Cross Linking Agent and Its Application as Fe(III) Sorbent

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

14%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

MATCH ALL SOURCES (ONLY SELECTED SOURCE PRINTED)

12%

★ Muhammad Cholid Djunaidi, Jumina, Dwi Siswanta, Mathias Ulbricht. "Selective Transport of Fe(III) Using Poly Eugenol as Functional Polymer with Ionic Imprinted Polymer Membrane Method", Asian Journal of Chemistry, 2015

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 1%