DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Cuningham FG, Mac Donald PC, Gant NF, et al. *Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy William Obstetrics*. 20th ed. Conecticut : Appleton & Lange; 1997.

2. Simanjuntak JR. Evaluasi Kematian Maternal Penderita Preeklampsia Berat di RSUD Dr. Pirngadi Medan Tahun 1993 –1997. 1999.

3. Miller DA. *Hypertension in Pregnancy*. Current di. (De Cherney AHL, N. Goodwin T, eds.). New York: McGraw Hill. p.; 2007.

4. Sofoewan S. Pregnancy Outcome of Women with Severe Preeclampsia With and Without HELLP Syndrome. 2001.

5. Depkes RI. Survei Kesehatan Rumah Tangga tahun 2001. In: Jakarta: Departement Kesehatan RI; 2001.

6. Sibai BM. The HELLP Syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low trombosit counts). In: *Much Ado About Nothing ?*. ; 1990:;162 : 311-316.

7. Siregar MF. Luaran Janin dan Ibu pada Penderita Preeklampsiaa di RSUD Dr. Pirngadi Medan. 1997.

8. Sarwono P WH. *Ilmu Kebidanan*. Jakarta: PT Bina Pustaka Sarwono Prawirohardjo; 2010.

9. Djamhoer Martaadisoebrata HW, Firman F. Wirakusumah, Dinan S. Bratakoesoema, Sofie R. Krisnadi,Johanes C. Mose. *Obstetri Patologi*. (Sulaiman Sastrawinata DM, Firman F. Wirakusumah, ed.). Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kedokteran EGC; 2004.

10. Kaaja R, Laivuori H, Laakso, M, Tikkanen MJ YO. *Evidence of a State of Increased Insulin Resistance in Preeclampsia*.; 1999.

11. William C. Mabie BMS. *Hypertensive States of Pregnancy*. Current Ob. (MLP AHD, ed.). Houston: Appleton & Lange; 1994.

12. Steegers EA, von Dadelszen P, Duvekot JJ PR. *Pre-Eclampsia*. The Lancet; 2010.

13. C.H. Backes KM, Pamela Moorehead, Leandro Cordero, C.A. Nankervis PJG. Maternal Preeclampsia and Neonatal Outcomes. 2011.

14. Konimusliha P, Dewantiningrum J HR. Hubungan antara Frekuensi Perawatan Antenatal dengan Kejadian Preeklampsia Berat di RSUP Dr. Kariadi Tahun 2010. 2011.

15. Granger JP, Alexander BT, Llinas MT, Bennett WA KR. *Pathophysiology of Hypertension During Preeclampsia Linking Placental Ischemia with Endothelial Dysfunction.Hypertension*.; 2001.

16. Duckitt K HD. *Risk Factors for Pre-Eclampsia at Antenatal Booking: Systematic Review of Controlled Studies*. BMJ; 2005.

17. Sibai BM, Hauth J, Caritis S, Lindheimer MD, MacPherson C, Klebanoff M, et al. Hypertensive disorders in twin versus singleton gestations. *Am J Obs Gynecol*. 2000;;182::938-942.

18. Chappell LC, Enve S, Seed P, Briley Pregnancy, Lucilla Poston, Shennan AH. *Adverse Perinatal Outcomes and Risk Factors for Preeclampsia in Women With Chronic Hypertension: A Prospective Study*. Hypertensi.; 2008.

19. Dekker G, Robillard PY. The birth interval hypothesis-does it really indicate the end of praternity hypothesis? *J Reprod Immunol*. 2003;;59::245-251.

20. Conde-Agudelo A, Villar J LM. World health organization systematic review of screening tests for preeclampsia. *Obs Gynecol*. 2004;;104::1367-1391.

21. Lisonkova S, Joseph K. Incidence of Preeclampsia: Risk Factors and Outcomes Associated with Early-Versus Late-Onset Disease. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013:209(6):544. e1 - . e12.

22. Dekker GA, Sibai BM. Etiology and Pathogenesis of Preeclampsia: Current Concepts. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998:179(5):1359-1375.

23. Hiby SE, Walker JJ, O'Shaughnessy KM, Redman CW, Carrington M, Trowsdale J, et al. Combinations of Maternal KIR and Fetal HLA-C Genes Influence The Risk of Preeclampsia and Reproductive Success. *J Exp Med*. 2004:;200(8):957-965.

24. Indonesia KKR, ed. *Buku Saku Pelayanan Kesehatan Ibu Di Fasilitas Kesehatan Dasar Dan Rujukan*. Jakarta; 2013.

25. Wagner LK. Diagnosis and Management of Preeclampsia. *Am Fam Physician*. 2004:;70(12):2317-2324.

26. Hohllagschwandtner M, Todesca DB. HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low trombosit counts) Needs Help. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1991:; 164 : 1500-1513.

27. Lockwood CJ, Paidas MJ. *Preeclampsia and Hypertensive Disorders. In : Cohen WR. Complication in Pregnancy*. 5th ed. Philadelphia : Lippicott Williams & Wilkins; 2000.

28. Churchill D, Beevers DG. *Hypetension in Pregnancy*. London: BMJ Books; 1999.

29. Arbogast BW, Taylor RN. Molecular Mechanism of Preeclampsia. *Springer-Verlag*. 1996.

30. Martin JN, Rinehart BK, May WL, et al. The Spectrum of Severe Preeclampsia : Comparative Analysis by HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low trombosit counts) Syndrome Classification. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1999:; 180 : 1373-1384.

31. Arias F. *Practical Guide to Highrisk Pregnancy and Delivary*. 2nd ed. St. Louis : Mosby Year Book; 1999.

32. Walker J. *Current Toughts on the Pathophysiology of Preeclampsia /Eclampsia*. Progress i. (Studd J, ed.). : Churchill Livingstone; 1999.

33. Barton JR, Riely CA, Adamec TA, et al. Hepatic Hispatologic in Condition does not Correlate with Laboratory Abnormalities in HELLP Syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low trombosit counts). *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1992:; 167 : 1538-1543.

34. Oesterhof H, Voorhoeve P, Arnodudse JG. Enhancement of Hepatic Artery Resistence to Blood Fflow in Preeclampia in ppresence or Absence of HELLP Syndrome. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1994:4; 171 : 526-530.

35. Usta IM, Barton JR, Amon EA, et al. Acute Fatty Liver of Pregnancy : An Experience in Diagnosis and Management of Cases. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1994:: 171 : 1342-1347.

36. Morikawa H, Umikage H YM. Clinical Difference Between HELLP Syndrome and

Partial HELLP Syndrome. *AUFOG Accredit Ultrasound Work*. 2001.

37. Martin JN, May WL, Magann EF, et al. Early risk assesment of severe preeclampsia: admission baterry of symptom and laboratory test to predict likelihood of subsequent significant maternal morbidity. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1999:; 180 : 1407-1414.

38. Dekker GA WJ. *Maternal Assesment in Pregnancy Induced Hypertensive Disorder : Special Investigation and Their Pathophysiological Basis*. London: Chapman&Hall; 1997.

39. Sibai BM, Taslimi MM, El-Nazer A et al. Maternal and Perinatal Outcome Associated with the Syndrome of hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low trombosit counts in Severe Preeclampsia. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1986:; 155 : 501-509.

40. Martin JN, Blakes PG, Perry KG, et al. The Natural Hystory of HELLP Syndrome : Patern of Disease Progression and Regression. *AmJ Obs Gynecol*. 1991:; 164 : 1500-1513.

41. Ghulmiyyah L, Sibai B, ed. Maternal Mortality from Preeclampsia/Eclampsia. In: Seminars in Perinatology; 2012.

42. Wahdi SA, Praptohardjo U. Kematian Maternal di RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang tahun 1996-1998. *Maj Obstet dan Ginekol Indones POGI*. 2000::65-70.

43. Leveno KJ. Cunningham. In: *Obstetri Williams*. ; 2009.

44. Duley L, ed. The Global Impact of Preeclampsia and Eclampsia. In: Seminars in Perinatology; 2009.

45. SARAH DYAANGGARI AKIP. LUARAN MATERNAL DAN PERINATAL PADA IBU HAMIL DENGAN PREEKLAMPSIA BERAT (Analisis Perbedaan Faktor Risiko dengan dan Tanpa Riwayat Preeklampsia). *eprints.undip*. 2015.

46. Gandhi S, Sun D, Park AL. The Pulmonary Edema Preeclampsia Evaluation (PEPE) Study. *J Obs Gynaecol Can*. 2014;;36(12)::1065-1070.

47. Anggraeni W, Pramono BA. Analisis Faktor Risiko terhadap Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal Pada Kasus Eklampsia di RSUP Dr Kariadi Tahun 2011-2012. *Fac Med*

Diponegoro Univ. 2013.

48. auf Altenstadt JFvS, Hukkelhoven CW, van Roosmalen J, Bloemenkamp KW. Pre-Eclampsia Increases the Risk of Postpartum Haemorrhage. *A Nationwide Cohort Study Netherlands*. 2013.

49. Redman, Borzychowski A, Sargent I C, ed. Inflammation and Preeclampsia. In: Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine; 2006.

50. Sari LPA, Harahap M. Perbedaan Mortalitas antara Pasien Sepsis dan Sepsis Komplikasi Disseminted Intravascular Coagulation di ICU RSUP Dr. Kariadi. *Fac Med Diponegoro Univ*. 2013.

51. Acosta CD, Knight M, Lee HC, Kurinczuk JJ, Gould JB, Lyndon A. The Continuum of Maternal Sepsis Severity: Incidence and Risk Factors in a Population-Based Cohort Study. *PLoS One*. 2013;;8(7)::e67175.

52. Thachill J, Toh CH,. Disseminated intravascular coagulation in obstetric disorders and its acute haematological management. *Blood Reveiw*. 2009:;167-176.

53. Ngoc NTN, Merialdi M, Abdel-Aleem H, Carroli G, Purwar M, Zavaleta N, et al,, Ngoc NTN, Merialdi M, Abdel-Aleem H, Carroli G, Purwar M, Zavaleta N, et al,. Causes of Stillbirths and Early Neonatal Deaths: Data from 7993 Pregnancies in Six Developing Countries. *Causes Stillbirths Early Neonatal Deaths Data from 7993 Pregnancies Six Dev Ctries*. 2006:;84(9):699-705.

54. Knight M. Eclampsia in the United kingdom 2005. *BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2007;;114(9)::1072-1078.

55. Group TETC. Which Anticonvulsant for Women with Eclampsia? Evidence from the Collaborative Eclampsia Trial. *Lancet*. 1995;;345(8963)::1455-1463.

56. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and Causes of Preterm Birth. *Lancet*. 2008;;371(9606)::75-84.

57. Saigal S, Doyle LW. An Overview of Mortality and Sequelae of Preterm Birth from Infancy To Adulthood. *Lancet*. 2008;;371(9608)::261-269.

58. Wati LK. Hubungan antara Preeklampsia/Eklampsia dengan Kejadian Berat Badan Lahir Rendah (BBLR) Di RSUD Dokter Soedarso Pontianak Tahun 2012. *J Mhs PSPD FK Univ Tanjungpura*. 2013;;3(1).

59. Carolan M, Frankowska D. Advanced Maternal Age and Adverse Perinatal Outcome: A Revies of the Evidence. *Midwifery*. 2010:: 1-8.

60. Giurgescu C, Sanguanklin N, Engeland CG, White-Traut RC, Park C, Mathews HL, et al,. Relationships Among Psychosocial Factors, Biomarkers, Preeclampsia, and Preterm Birth in African American Women: A pilot. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2014.

61. Heinonen S SS. Reproductive Risk Factors of Fetal Asphyxia at Delivery: A Population Based Analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2001;;54(4)::407-410.

62. Kosim MS, Yunanto A, Dewi R, Sarosa G and Usman A,. *Buku Ajar Neonatologi*. Pertama. Jakarta: Ikatan Dokter Anak Indonesia; 2008.

63. Prawirohardjo S. *Ilmu Kandungan*. Yayasan Bina Pustaka Jakarta; 2002.

64. Constance Sinclair. *Buku Saku Kebidanan*. Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kedokteran EGC; 2003.

65. Sibai BM, Ramadan MK, Usta I, Salama M, Mercer BM, Friedman SA. Maternal morbidity and mortality in 442 pregnancies with hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets (HELLP syndrome). *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;169(4):1000-1006. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(93)90043-I.

66. Audibert F, Friedman S a, Frangieh a Y, Sibai BM. Clinical utility of strict diagnostic criteria for the HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets) syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175:460-464. doi:10.1016/S0002-9378(96)70162-X.

67. Hatsari Siahaan. Luaran Ibu dan Anak pada Penderita Preeklamosia Berat Usia Kehamilan <37 Minggu dengan Penanganan secara Ekspetatif dan Aktif. 2011.

68. Haddad B, Barton JR, Livingston JC, Chahine R, Sibai BM. HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count) syndrome versus severe preeclampsia: Onset at ???28.0 weeks' gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;183(6):1475-1479. doi:10.1067/mob.2000.106975.

69. Afessa B, Green B, Delke I, Koch K. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome, organ failure, and outcome in critically ill obstetric patients treated in an ICU. *Chest*. 2001;120(4):1271-1277. doi:10.1378/chest.120.4.1271.

70. Abramovici D, Friedman SA, Mercer BM, Audibert F, Kao L, Sibai BM. Neonatal outcome in severe preeclampsia at 24 to 36 weeks' gestation: Does the HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count) syndrome matter? *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;180(1 I):221-225. doi:10.1016/S0002-9378(99)70178-X.

71. Aslan H, Gul A, Cebeci A. Neonatal outcome in pregnancies after preterm delivery for HELLP syndrome. *Gynecol Obs Invest*. 2004;58(2):96-99. doi:10.1159/000078679.

72. Raval DS, Co S, Reid MA, Pildes R. Maternal and neonatal outcome of pregnancies complicated with maternal HELLP syndrome. *J Perinatol*. 1997;17(4):266-269. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9280089.

73. Audibert F, Benchimol Y, Benattar C, Champagne C, Frydman R. Prediction of preeclampsia or intrauterine growth restriction by second trimester serum screening and uterine Doppler velocimetry. *Fetal Diagn Ther*. 2005;20(1):48-53. doi:10.1159/000081369.

LAMPIRAN 1

KOMISI ETIK PENELITIAN KESEHATAN (KEPK)
FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN UNIVERSITAS DIPONEGORO
DAN RSUP dr KARIADI SEMARANG
Sekretariat : Kantor Dekanat FK Undip Lt.3
Jl. Dr. Soetomo 18. Semarang
Telp/Fax. 024-8318350

RSUP Dr. KARIADI

ETHICAL CLEARANCE
No. 274/EC/FK-RSDK/2016

Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro-RSUP. Dr. Kariadi Semarang, setelah membaca dan menelaah Usulan Penelitian dengan judul :

"PERBEDAAN LUARAN MATERNAL DAN PERINATAL ANTARA PREEKLAMPSIA BERAT DENGAN SINDROM HELLP DAN SINDROM HELLP PARSIAL"

Peneliti Utama : ***Adhityadeva N.T***

Pembimbing : dr. Julian Dewantiningrum, M.Si.Med, Sp.OG(K)

Penelitian : Dilaksanakan di Catatan Medik RSUP. Dr. Kariadi Semarang dan Bagian Obstetri Ginekologi RSUP. Dr. Kariadi Semarang

Setuju untuk dilaksanakan, dengan memperhatikan prinsip-prinsip yang dinyatakan dalam Deklarasi Helsinki 1975, yang diamended di Seoul 2008 dan Pedoman Nasional Etik Penelitian Kesehatan (PNEPK) Departemen Kesehatan RI 2011

Penelitian ini adalah Rekam Medik, jadi tidak memerlukan Informed Consent.
Peneliti diwajibkan menyerahkan :

- Laporan kemajuan penelitian (*clinical trial*)
- Laporan kejadian efek samping jika ada
- Laporan ke KEPK jika penelitian sudah selesai & dilampiri Abstrak Penelitian

Semarang, 16 MAR 2016

Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan
Fakultas Kedokteran Undip-RS. Dr. Kariadi
Ketua,

Prof. Dr. dr. Suprihati, M.Sc, Sp.THT-KL(K)
NIP. 19500621 197703 2 001

LAMPIRAN 2

KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI
UNIVERSITAS DIPONEGORO
FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN
Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, SH – Tembalang – Semarang Telepon 024-76928010, Fax. 024-76928011
Email : dean_fmdu@undip.ac.id

Nomor : 493 /UN7.3.4/D1/PP/2016 27 JAN 2016
Lampiran : 1 (satu) bendel
Perihal : Permohonan ijin penelitian dan peminjaman data rekam medik

Yth. Direktur Utama
RSUP Dr. Kariadi
Semarang

Bersama ini kami hadapkan mahasiswa Program Studi Pendidikan Dokter Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro Semarang :

Nama/ NIM : 1. Wahyu Choerul Tamsir / 22010112120024
2. Adhityadeva N T / 22010112140029
Semester : VIII (delapan)

Mohon diijinkan melakukan penelitian dan meminjam data rekam medik di Instalasi Rekam Medik RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang, dalam rangka penyusunan Karya Tulis Ilmiah mahasiswa. Terlampir proposal mahasiswa yang bersangkutan.

Judul KTI : 1. Perbedaan Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal Preeklampsia Berat dengan dan Tanpa Sindrom HELLP
2. Perbedaan Luaran Maternal dan Perinatal antara Preeklampsia Berat dengan Sindrom HELLP dan Sindrom HELLP Parsial
Pembimbing : dr. Julian Dewantiningrum, M.Si.Med, Sp.OG(K)

Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

a.n Dekan
Pembantu Dekan I

Dr. dr. Dwi Pudjonarko, M.Kes.,Sp.S(K)
NIP. 196607201995121001

Tembusan :
1. Dekan (sebagai laporan)
2. Ketua Tim Karya Tulis Ilmiah
3. Kepala Bagian Diklit RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang
4. Kepala Instalasi Rekam Medik RSUP Dr. Kariadi Semarang
5. Pembimbing

LAMPIRAN 3

Frequencies

Frequency Table

Diagnosis

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Parsial	34	44.7	44.7	44.7
	HELLP	42	55.3	55.3	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Mortalitas maternal

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	6	7.9	7.9	7.9
	Tidak	70	92.1	92.1	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

DIC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	1	1.3	1.3	1.3
	Tidak	75	98.7	98.7	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Gagal ginjal akut

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	18	23.7	23.7	23.7
	Tidak	58	76.3	76.3	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Gangguan penglihatan

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	13	17.1	17.1	17.1
	Tidak	63	82.9	82.9	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Edema paru

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	17	22.4	22.4	22.4
	Tidak	59	77.6	77.6	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Eklampsia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	18	23.7	23.7	23.7
	Tidak	58	76.3	76.3	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

SIRS

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	4	5.3	5.3	5.3
	Tidak	72	94.7	94.7	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Perawatan ICU

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	41	53.9	53.9	53.9
	Tidak	35	46.1	46.1	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Sepsis

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	3	3.9	3.9	3.9
	Tidak	73	96.1	96.1	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Perdarahan post partum

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	4	5.3	5.3	5.3
	Tidak	72	94.7	94.7	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Mortalitas perinatal

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	14	18.4	18.4	18.4
	Tidak	62	81.6	81.6	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

IUGR

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	64	84.2	84.2	84.2
	Tidak	12	15.8	15.8	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

IUFD

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	15	19.7	19.7	19.7
	Tidak	61	80.3	80.3	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Asfiksia

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	36	47.4	47.4	47.4
	Tidak	40	52.6	52.6	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Gawat janin

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	18	23.7	23.7	23.7
	Tidak	58	76.3	76.3	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Kelahiran prematur

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	61	80.3	80.3	80.3
	Tidak	15	19.7	19.7	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Kelainan Doppler

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	28	36.8	36.8	36.8
	Tidak	48	63.2	63.2	100.0
	Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Crosstabs

Mortalitas maternal * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Mortalitas maternal	Ya	Count	3	3	6
		Expected Count	2.7	3.3	6.0
		% within Diagnosis	8.8%	7.1%	7.9%
	Tidak	Count	31	39	70
		Expected Count	31.3	38.7	70.0
		% within Diagnosis	91.2%	92.9%	92.1%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.073[b]	1	.787		
Continuity Correction[a]	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.073	1	.788		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.556
Linear-by-Linear Association	.072	1	.788		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.68.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Mortalitas maternal (Ya / Tidak)	1.258	.237	6.672
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.129	.486	2.621
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.897	.393	2.052
N of Valid Cases	76		

DIC * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
DIC	Ya	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.4	.6	1.0
		% within Diagnosis	2.9%	.0%	1.3%
	Tidak	Count	33	42	75
		Expected Count	33.6	41.4	75.0
		% within Diagnosis	97.1%	100.0%	98.7%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.252[b]	1	.263		
Continuity Correction[a]	.011	1	.915		
Likelihood Ratio	1.625	1	.202		
Fisher's Exact Test				.447	.447
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.235	1	.266		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .45.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	2.273	1.761	2.934
N of Valid Cases	76		

Gagal ginjal akut * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Gagal ginjal akut	Ya	Count	9	9	18
		Expected Count	8.1	9.9	18.0
		% within Diagnosis	26.5%	21.4%	23.7%
	Tidak	Count	25	33	58
		Expected Count	25.9	32.1	58.0
		% within Diagnosis	73.5%	78.6%	76.3%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.264[b]	1	.607		
Continuity Correction[a]	.059	1	.808		
Likelihood Ratio	.263	1	.608		
Fisher's Exact Test				.787	.402
Linear-by-Linear Association	.261	1	.610		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.05.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gagal ginjal akut (Ya / Tidak)	1.320	.457	3.810
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.160	.670	2.008
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.879	.526	1.468
N of Valid Cases	76		

Gangguan penglihatan * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Gangguan penglihatan	Ya	Count	8	5	13
		Expected Count	5.8	7.2	13.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	11.9%	17.1%
	Tidak	Count	26	37	63
		Expected Count	28.2	34.8	63.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	88.1%	82.9%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.791[b]	1	.181		
Continuity Correction[a]	1.065	1	.302		
Likelihood Ratio	1.785	1	.181		
Fisher's Exact Test				.227	.151
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.767	1	.184		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.82.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gangguan penglihatan (Ya / Tidak)	2.277	.669	7.749
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.491	.886	2.511
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.655	.319	1.343
N of Valid Cases	76		

Edema paru * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Edema paru	Ya	Count	6	11	17
		Expected Count	7.6	9.4	17.0
		% within Diagnosis	17.6%	26.2%	22.4%
	Tidak	Count	28	31	59
		Expected Count	26.4	32.6	59.0
		% within Diagnosis	82.4%	73.8%	77.6%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.790[b]	1	.374		
Continuity Correction[a]	.374	1	.541		
Likelihood Ratio	.802	1	.371		
Fisher's Exact Test				.419	.272
Linear-by-Linear Association	.779	1	.377		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.61.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Edema paru (Ya / Tidak)	.604	.197	1.848
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	.744	.370	1.494
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.231	.804	1.887
N of Valid Cases	76		

Eklampsia * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Eklampsia	Ya	Count	8	10	18
		Expected Count	8.1	9.9	18.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	23.8%	23.7%
	Tidak	Count	26	32	58
		Expected Count	25.9	32.1	58.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	76.2%	76.3%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.001[b]	1	.977		
Continuity Correction[a]	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.001	1	.977		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.598
Linear-by-Linear Association	.001	1	.977		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.05.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Eklampsia (Ya / Tidak)	.985	.340	2.853
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	.991	.550	1.789
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.007	.627	1.617
N of Valid Cases	76		

SIRS * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
SIRS	Ya	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	5.9%	4.8%	5.3%
	Tidak	Count	32	40	72
		Expected Count	32.2	39.8	72.0
		% within Diagnosis	94.1%	95.2%	94.7%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.047[b]	1	.828		
Continuity Correction[a]	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.047	1	.828		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.047	1	.829		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1. 79.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for SIRS (Ya / Tidak)	1.250	.167	9.370
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.125	.408	3.099
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.900	.331	2.450
N of Valid Cases	76		

Perawatan ICU * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Perawatan ICU	Ya	Count	19	22	41
		Expected Count	18.3	22.7	41.0
		% within Diagnosis	55.9%	52.4%	53.9%
	Tidak	Count	15	20	35
		Expected Count	15.7	19.3	35.0
		% within Diagnosis	44.1%	47.6%	46.1%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.093[b]	1	.761		
Continuity Correction[a]	.005	1	.942		
Likelihood Ratio	.093	1	.761		
Fisher's Exact Test				.819	.471
Linear-by-Linear Association	.092	1	.762		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15.66.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Perawatan ICU (Ya / Tidak)	1.152	.464	2.856
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.081	.653	1.791
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.939	.627	1.407
N of Valid Cases	76		

Sepsis * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Sepsis	Ya	Count	2	1	3
		Expected Count	1.3	1.7	3.0
		% within Diagnosis	5.9%	2.4%	3.9%
	Tidak	Count	32	41	73
		Expected Count	32.7	40.3	73.0
		% within Diagnosis	94.1%	97.6%	96.1%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.608[b]	1	.436		
Continuity Correction[a]	.035	1	.852		
Likelihood Ratio	.609	1	.435		
Fisher's Exact Test				.584	.420
Linear-by-Linear Association	.600	1	.439		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1. 34.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Sepsis (Ya / Tidak)	2.563	.222	29.534
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.521	.656	3.527
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.593	.118	2.978
N of Valid Cases	76		

Perdarahan post partum * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		Total
			Parsial	HELLP	
Perdarahan post partum	Ya	Count	2	2	4
		Expected Count	1.8	2.2	4.0
		% within Diagnosis	5.9%	4.8%	5.3%
	Tidak	Count	32	40	72
		Expected Count	32.2	39.8	72.0
		% within Diagnosis	94.1%	95.2%	94.7%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.047[b]	1	.828		
Continuity Correction[a]	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.047	1	.828		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.609
Linear-by-Linear Association	.047	1	.829		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.79.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Perdarahan post partum (Ya / Tidak)	1.250	.167	9.370
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.125	.408	3.099
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.900	.331	2.450
N of Valid Cases	76		

Mortalitas perinatal * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Mortalitas perinatal	Ya	Count	5	9	14
		Expected Count	6.3	7.7	14.0
		% within Diagnosis	14.7%	21.4%	18.4%
	Tidak	Count	29	33	62
		Expected Count	27.7	34.3	62.0
		% within Diagnosis	85.3%	78.6%	81.6%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.565[b]	1	.452		
Continuity Correction[a]	.206	1	.650		
Likelihood Ratio	.574	1	.449		
Fisher's Exact Test				.558	.327
Linear-by-Linear Association	.558	1	.455		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.26.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Mortalitas perinatal (Ya / Tidak)	.632	.190	2.102
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	.764	.360	1.618
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.208	.766	1.903
N of Valid Cases	76		

IUGR * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
IUGR	Ya	Count	26	38	64
		Expected Count	28.6	35.4	64.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	90.5%	84.2%
	Tidak	Count	8	4	12
		Expected Count	5.4	6.6	12.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	9.5%	15.8%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.772[b]	1	.096		
Continuity Correction[a]	1.819	1	.177		
Likelihood Ratio	2.779	1	.096		
Fisher's Exact Test				.121	.089
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.735	1	.098		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5. 37.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for IUGR (Ya / Tidak)	.342	.093	1.255
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	.609	.370	1.002
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.781	.780	4.066
N of Valid Cases	76		

IUFD * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
IUFD	Ya	Count	7	8	15
		Expected Count	6.7	8.3	15.0
		% within Diagnosis	20.6%	19.0%	19.7%
	Tidak	Count	27	34	61
		Expected Count	27.3	33.7	61.0
		% within Diagnosis	79.4%	81.0%	80.3%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.028[b]	1	.867		
Continuity Correction[a]	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.028	1	.867		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.546
Linear-by-Linear Association	.028	1	.868		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.71.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for IUFD (Ya / Tidak)	1.102	.355	3.422
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.054	.573	1.940
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.957	.567	1.615
N of Valid Cases	76		

Asfiksia * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Asfiksia	Ya	Count	18	18	36
		Expected Count	16.1	19.9	36.0
		% within Diagnosis	52.9%	42.9%	47.4%
	Tidak	Count	16	24	40
		Expected Count	17.9	22.1	40.0
		% within Diagnosis	47.1%	57.1%	52.6%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.766[b]	1	.381		
Continuity Correction[a]	.415	1	.519		
Likelihood Ratio	.767	1	.381		
Fisher's Exact Test				.489	.260
Linear-by-Linear Association	.756	1	.384		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 16. 11.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Asfiksia (Ya / Tidak)	1.500	.604	3.724
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.250	.758	2.062
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.833	.551	1.260
N of Valid Cases	76		

Gawat janin * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Gawat janin	Ya	Count	9	9	18
		Expected Count	8.1	9.9	18.0
		% within Diagnosis	26.5%	21.4%	23.7%
	Tidak	Count	25	33	58
		Expected Count	25.9	32.1	58.0
		% within Diagnosis	73.5%	78.6%	76.3%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.264[b]	1	.607		
Continuity Correction[a]	.059	1	.808		
Likelihood Ratio	.263	1	.608		
Fisher's Exact Test				.787	.402
Linear-by-Linear Association	.261	1	.610		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.05.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gawat janin (Ya / Tidak)	1.320	.457	3.810
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	1.160	.670	2.008
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	.879	.526	1.468
N of Valid Cases	76		

Kelahiran prematur * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Kelahiran prematur	Ya	Count	26	35	61
		Expected Count	27.3	33.7	61.0
		% within Diagnosis	76.5%	83.3%	80.3%
	Tidak	Count	8	7	15
		Expected Count	6.7	8.3	15.0
		% within Diagnosis	23.5%	16.7%	19.7%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.559[b]	1	.455		
Continuity Correction[a]	.209	1	.647		
Likelihood Ratio	.556	1	.456		
Fisher's Exact Test				.565	.322
Linear-by-Linear Association	.551	1	.458		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.71.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelahiran prematur (Ya / Tidak)	.650	.209	2.021
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	.799	.458	1.393
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.230	.687	2.202
N of Valid Cases	76		

Kelainan Doppler * Diagnosis

Crosstab

			Diagnosis		
			Parsial	HELLP	Total
Kelainan Doppler	Ya	Count	11	17	28
		Expected Count	12.5	15.5	28.0
		% within Diagnosis	32.4%	40.5%	36.8%
	Tidak	Count	23	25	48
		Expected Count	21.5	26.5	48.0
		% within Diagnosis	67.6%	59.5%	63.2%
Total		Count	34	42	76
		Expected Count	34.0	42.0	76.0
		% within Diagnosis	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.533[b]	1	.465		
Continuity Correction[a]	.241	1	.624		
Likelihood Ratio	.535	1	.464		
Fisher's Exact Test				.485	.313
Linear-by-Linear Association	.526	1	.468		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. Computed only for a 2x2 table

b. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 12.53.

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelainan Doppler (Ya / Tidak)	.703	.273	1.812
For cohort Diagnosis = Parsial	.820	.475	1.417
For cohort Diagnosis = HELLP	1.166	.779	1.744
N of Valid Cases	76		

Logistic Regression

Block 1: Method = Backward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

Classification Table[a]

Observed			Predicted		
			Diagnosis		Percentage Correct
			Parsial	HELLP	
Step 1	Diagnosis	Parsial	15	19	44.1
		HELLP	9	33	78.6
	Overall Percentage				63.2
Step 2	Diagnosis	Parsial	8	26	23.5
		HELLP	4	38	90.5
	Overall Percentage				60.5

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I.for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	Gangguan_penglihatan	.961	.638	2.273	1	.132	2.615	.749	9.122
	IUGR	-1.193	.674	3.133	1	.077	.303	.081	1.136
	Constant	-.163	1.333	.015	1	.903	.850		
Step 2[a]	IUGR	-1.073	.663	2.616	1	.106	.342	.093	1.255
	Constant	1.452	.796	3.325	1	.068	4.272		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Gangguan_penglihatan, IUGR.

Tabel Frekuensi Data

Variabel	F	%
Diagnosis		
HELLP	42	30,9
Parsial	34	25
Mortalitas maternal	6	7,9
DIC	1	1,3
Gagal ginjal akut	18	23,7
Gangguan penglihatan	13	17,1
Edema paru	17	22,4
Eklampsia	18	23,7
SIRS	4	5,3
Perawatan ICU	41	53,9
Sepsis	3	3,9
Perdarahan post partum	4	5,3
Mortalitas perinatal	14	18,4
IUGR	64	84,2
IUFD	15	19,7
Asfiksia	36	47,4
Gawat janin	18	23,7
Kelahiran prematur	61	80,3
Kelainan Doppler	28	36,8

Tabel hasil uji chi square antar diagnosis Parsial dan HELLP

Variabel	Diagnosis				Bivariat		Multivariat	
	Parsial		HELLP		p	OR (IK95%)	p	OR (IK95%)
	n	%	n	%				

Mortalitas maternal	3	8,8	3	7,1	1,000‡	1,26 (0,24-6,67)			
DIC	1	2,9	0	0	0,447‡	–			
Gagal ginjal akut	9	26,5	9	21,4	0,607§	1,32 (0,46-3,81)			
Gangguan penglihatan	8	23,5	5	11,9	0,181§	2,28 (0,67-7,75)	0,132	2,62	(0,75-9,12)
Edema paru	8	17,6	11	26,2	0,374§	0,6 (0,20-1,85)			
Eklampsia	8	23,5	10	23,8	0,977§	0,99 (0,34-2,85)			
SIRS	2	5,9	2	4,8	1,000‡	1,25 (0,17-9,37)			
Perawatan ICU	19	55,9	22	52,4	0,761§	1,15 (0,46-2,86)			
Sepsis	2	5,9	1	2,4	0,584‡	2,56 (0,22-29,53)			
Perdarahan post partum	2	5,9	2	4,8	1,000‡	1,25 (0,17-9,37)			
Mortalitas perinatal	5	14,7	9	21,4	0,452§	0,63 (0,19-2,1)			
IUGR	26	76,5	38	90,5	0,096§	0,34 (0,09-1,26)	0,106	0,342	(0,09-1,26)
IUFD	7	20,6	8	19	0,867§	1,1 (0,36-3,42)			
Asfiksia	18	52,9	18	42,9	0,381§	1,5 (0,6-3,72)			
Gawat janin	9	26,5	9	21,4	0,607§	1,32 (0,46-3,81)			
Kelahiran prematur	26	76,5	35	83,3	0,455§	0,65 (0,21-2,02)			
Kelainan Doppler	11	32,4	17	40,5	0,465§	0,7 (0,27-1,81)			

Keterangan : § Pearson Chi Square; ‡ Fisher's Exact Test

LAMPIRAN 4

Identitas

Nama : Adhityadeva N T

NIM : 22010112140029

Tempat/tanggal lahir : Semarang, 27 Oktober 1994

Jenis kelamin : Laki-laki

Nomor HP : 08112992710

e-mail : adhityadevant27@gmail.com

RiwayatPendidikan Formal

a. SD : SDN SOMPOK SEMRANG Lulus tahun : 2006

b. SMP : SMP N 8 SEMARANG Lulus tahun : 2009

c. SMA : SMA N 11 SEMARANG Lulus tahun : 2012

KeanggotaanOrganisasi

1. Anggota KASTRAT HIMA KU UNDIP Tahun 2013/2014