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Corruption has often stimulated the collapse of authoritarian regimes and was followed by
democratization in a number of developing countries. Many new democratic regimes, however,
have also failed to control corruption because during the transition to democracy, their
institutional structure of governance has typically not functioned well. In Indonesia too,
corruption has been a highly pertinent issue that has both stimulated and compromised the
regime’s transition to democracy. This has provided a platform for civil society organizations
(CSOs) to take an active political role. Yet insufficient empirical examination exists on the role
of CSOs in combating corruption during democratic transition and the implication for
democratization.

This book documents and critically examines the contribution of CSOs (more specifically
anti-corruption CSOs) in Indonesia, an examination essential to the study of democratization and
anti-corruption efforts. It investigates how and to what extent CSOs can fight corruption during
democratic transition. Owing to the ineffectiveness and unwillingness of the state, civil
associations have undertaken initiatives in fighting and underscoring corruption into the domain
of public debate. They work at two levels of battle: strategic and practical. At the strategic level,
CSOs have contributed to the creation of the legal and institutional frameworks necessary for
eradicating corruption. Many of their advocacies have brought about the creation of anti-
corruption regulations and supervisory bodies to combat corruption. At the practical level, CSOs
have organized social monitoring to call state officials to account. It has been CSOs that have
mobilized the public to take civic action against corruption. By successfully taking a number of
government officials and politicians to court, CSOs have also enhanced the legal system against
corruption.

Given the significance of the contribution of CSOs, this book argues that they have a real
nascent force to advance democratization: not only because the anti-corruption movement that
they initiated has been instrumental in shaping political transformation, but they have also opened
channels between the state and civil society that work as a system of accountability, part of a
long-term project of establishing a democratic principle rooted in grassroots participation.

This book argues, however, that despite their important role, the activities of CSOs have
not been perfect and, accordingly, should not be interpreted as a panacea for all problems of
corruption and democratization. Therefore, it should be a concern for all the stakeholders
involved – including the government, donor agencies, and the general public – to enhance the
capacity of CSOs.
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